



**NOT OFFICIAL
UNTIL APPROVED AT SUBSEQUENT
MEETING BY CITY COUNCIL**

CITY OF OCEANSIDE

JOINT MINUTES OF THE:

**CITY COUNCIL
SMALL CRAFT HARBOR DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**

SEPTEMBER 17, 2008

REGULAR MEETING 4:00 PM COUNCIL CHAMBERS

**4:00 PM - OCEANSIDE CITY COUNCIL (COUNCIL),
HARBOR DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS (HDB), AND
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION (CDC)
- REGULAR BUSINESS**

**Mayor
HDB President
CDC Chair**
Jim Wood

**Deputy Mayor
HDB Vice President
CDC Vice Chair**
Rocky Chavez

**Councilmembers
HDB Directors
CDC Commissioners**
Jerome Kern
Jack Feller
Esther Sanchez

**City Clerk
HDB Secretary
CDC Secretary**
Barbara Riegel Wayne

Treasurer
Rosemary Jones

**City Manager
HDB Chief Executive Officer
CDC Executive Director**
Peter Weiss

**City Attorney
HDB General Counsel
CDC General Counsel**
John Mullen

For this regular and joint meeting, the Council sat as all 3 governing bodies [Council, HDB and CDC] simultaneously but took action as the respective agency for the jurisdiction covered by each item. Council titles only will be used for brevity throughout the entire meeting.

The regular and joint meeting of the Oceanside City Council (Council), Small Craft Harbor District Board of Directors (HDB) and Community Development Commission (CDC) was called to order at 4:02 PM, September 17, 2008 by Mayor Wood.

4:00 PM - ROLL CALL

Present were Mayor Wood, Deputy Mayor Chavez and Councilmember Kern. Councilmembers Feller and Sanchez arrived at 4:03 PM. Also present were City Clerk Wayne, City Manager Weiss and City Attorney Mullen.

CITY COUNCIL, HDB, and CDC CLOSED SESSION ITEMS

Closed Session to discuss litigation, property acquisition, labor relations and personnel matters

CITY ATTORNEY MULLEN titled the following agendized items to be heard in closed session: Items 2A and 2B. [Item 1 would not be heard].

1. **[CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR ON STATUS OF NEGOTIATIONS PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED IN OPEN SESSION (SECTION 54957.6)]**

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR – Negotiator: City Manager; employee organizations: Oceanside Police Officers’ Association (OPOA), Oceanside Firefighters’ Association (OFA), Oceanside Police Management Association (OPMA), Management Employees of the City of Oceanside (MECO), Oceanside City Employees’ Association (OCEA), Oceanside Fire Management Association (OFMA), Western Council of Engineers (WCE), and Unrepresented]

No closed session was held.

2. **LITIGATION OR OTHER ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (E.G., ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING, ARBITRATION) (SECTION 54956.9)**

A) CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION (SECTION 54956.9(b))

Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Subdivision (b) of Section 54956.9: One case

Discussed; there was no reportable action under the Brown Act.

B) CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION (SECTION 54956.9(a))

Riverwatch v. City, Superior Court Case No. GIN038227

Discussed; there was no reportable action under the Brown Act.

Closed Session and recess were held from 4:03 to 5:01 PM.

5:00 PM – ROLL CALL:

All Councilmembers were present. Also present were City Clerk Wayne, City Treasurer Jones, City Manager Weiss and City Attorney Mullen.

Invocation: Pastor Carl Souza

Pledge of Allegiance: Soccer Club Team members

PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Presentation – Mayor’s Youth Sports Recognition and Appreciation Award – Soccer Club
Presentation – Check presentation by Oceanside Firefighters Association to Casa de Amparo
Proclamation – Oceanside Harbor Days, September 20-21, 2008

Presentations were made.

3. **CLOSED SESSION REPORT BY CITY ATTORNEY**

CITY ATTORNEY MULLEN reported out on the closed session items previously heard in closed session [see items 1 and 2 above for reports].

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS ON OFF-AGENDA ITEMS

No action will be taken by the Council/HDB/CDC on matters in this category unless it is determined that an emergency exists or that there is a need to take action that became known subsequent to the posting of the agenda.

Advance written request to reserve time to speak: None

4. **Communications from the public regarding items not on this agenda**

NAME WITHHELD, PoliceWatch.org, expressed concerns with cops' tactics in businesses, etc. and cop television shows.

PATTI BUSIC, parent of an El Camino High School student, spoke on behalf of the auto shop teacher, stating that El Camino's advanced auto shop class has been selected to be in this season's Drag Race High on Fox's channel, a reality show in which 2 rival high schools have 12 weeks to build a race car. They will race the car on November 22. The students will represent the City, and she invited the City leaders to stop by the shop and requested their support in promoting our car show on October 12.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS [Items 5-11]

All items listed on the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine matters or formal documents covering previous Council/HDB/CDC instructions. The items listed on the Consent Calendar may be enacted by a single vote. There will be no separate discussion of any Consent Calendar items unless requested by members of the Council/HDB/CDC or the public through submittal of a Request to Speak form prior to the commencement of the agenda item.

The following Consent Calendar was submitted for approval:

5. City Council/Harbor/CDC: Acceptance of Joint Minutes of the Small Craft Harbor District Board of Directors, Community Development Commission and City Council of the following meetings:
 - December 10, 2003, 2:00 p.m. Adjourned City Council
 - December 17, 2003, 10:00 a.m. Adjourned City Council
 - January 7, 2004, 10:00 a.m. Adjourned City Council/CDC
6. City Council/Harbor/CDC: Approval to waive reading of the text of all ordinances and resolutions considered at this meeting and provide that ordinances shall be introduced after a reading only of the title(s)
7. City Council: Approval of annual purchase orders in amounts over \$50,000 for equipment, supplies, materials and services from various Fire Department funds
8. City Council: Approval of Amendment 2 to the Percentage Lease Agreement with Ruby's Diner, Inc., for the use of City-owned real property located at the end of the Oceanside Pier, extending the term of the agreement to June 30, 2013, for minimum total revenue to the City in the amount of \$445,000 for the five-year period, changing the percentage rent structure, and providing for capital improvements to the property; and authorization for the City Manager to execute the amendment (Document No. 08-D0560-1)
9. CDC: Approval of a professional services agreement with Keyser Marston Associates, Inc., of San Diego in an amount not to exceed \$160,000 for economic analysis consultant services for the Redevelopment Agency, and authorization for the Executive Director to execute the agreement (Document No. 08-D0561-3)
10. City Council: Acceptance of funds in the amount of \$19,500 from Thomas Enterprises of San Diego for preparation by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc., of an economic benefits analysis related to the Oceanside Pavilion project, and approval to appropriate the funds to the Planning Division
11. City Council: Authorization to award a contract in the amount of \$1,247,360.71 to Roy Allan Slurry Seal, Inc., of Santa Fe Springs for the Annual Slurry Seal FY 2008-2009 project, and authorization for the City Manager to execute the agreement upon receipt of all supporting documents (Document No. 08-D0562-1)

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ moved approval of the Consent Calendar as submitted; **COUNCILMEMBER KERN** seconded the motion. **The motion was approved 5-0.**

6:00 P.M. – PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS – None**MAYOR AND/OR COUNCILMEMBER ITEMS** - None**CITY MANAGER ITEM**13. **City Council: Update regarding the El Corazon project**

MICHELLE SKAGGS LAWRENCE, Deputy City Manager, gave a brief update on the status of the El Corazon project. Tyrone Matthews, Chair of the El Corazon Oversight Committee (ECOC), is also present. By way of background, Council created the original El Corazon Planning Committee in June 2003. Council gave that group the task to create a conceptual master plan for the site, which the committee did, and in August 2005 they returned to Council and Council unanimously approved the conceptual plan for the site.

In November 2005 the Council created a second planning committee — the El Corazon Oversight Committee (ECOC) with 10 members. The Council gave the ECOC some specific direction: first and foremost they were to complete the specific plan and the EIR for the site. The ECOC spent probably 99% of their time doing that. They were also to assess the feasibility of creating a nonprofit organization and to look at a phasing plan.

Today we are pleased to announce that the specific plan and the draft EIR will go out for public review as of tomorrow. It has a 45-day public comment period. On October 27 the ECOC will host a public meeting in the Chambers at 5:00 PM to review both documents and solicit public input. We are inviting all advisory group members to that meeting as well. Our public comment period will end on November 6, and we hope to be before the Planning Commission in January or February, and then to the City Council in March or April.

TYRONE MATTHEWS, Chair of ECOC, reviewed the specific plan. As stated, we have rounded the corner on the El Corazon project. After years of talking about what we were going to do with the pit at El Corazon, we finally have a workable draft plan for getting something built out there. This is an exciting time. With the senior center coming out of the ground, there was increasing pressure on the ECOC to get this project done. He is glad to report that the draft specific plan and the draft EIR are completed.

The specific plan implements the master plan that was approved by Council several years ago. The plan was 3 years in the making, and this process was far more detailed than the first generation of the El Corazon Committee. This project sets forth the applicable land uses at the project, as well as the development standards and design guidelines for the area. This specific plan will take precedent over any existing Zoning Ordinance for the site.

The biggest challenge for us in developing the specific plan was to ensure that it stayed true to the vision for the project set forth in the original plan approved by Council. That vision includes: both active and passive recreation; educational and cultural uses; revenue generators — an overriding concern by the ECOC was to ensure that for any development on this project by way of commercial or retail, the idea was to try to keep revenues at the site to offset any expenses to the City regarding the maintenance/upkeep of the park, etc.; maintain open space; maintain control over the property by the City as it relates to the retail sites; public facilities — the goal for this project was to have one location in the City that addressed both the recreational and commercial/retail needs for our residents, a location to get away without being away. Another part is to have no vehicles in certain areas of the park, a nature-like getaway within the City boundaries. We want it to have links to the community, which is why one thing outlined in the project is a community and cultural center that ties in with the existing senior center being constructed. We wanted to have tourist-oriented uses, which tie into revenue generation. The reason for so many ball fields at the site is that soccer is a very big part of this community, and the soccer community advised ECOC that there is not an adequate number of tournament-quality fields. The multi-use fields ECOC planned for this site seek to address that concern; the idea is that we host tournaments at the site, and these families would stay in the hotels at the site and also shop at the site.

The plan is broken down into a number of districts as outlined and displayed, with the legends being: PR = parks and recreation; HB = habitat; CS = civic services; H =

hotel; V = village commercial; and OBC = Oceanside Boulevard commercial. The plan sets forth both general design guidelines within the district and allowable uses within each district; a circulation plan; what public improvements should go at the site; and infrastructure needs at the site.

One of the biggest phases facing the ECOC is the implementation of the plan. Many years ago when Hugh LaBounty was chair of the initial committee, we had always envisioned that this process would take place in 3 steps, in other words there would be 3 iterations of the El Corazon committee. The first would relate to the planning stage, and that would include interaction with the community and the solicitation of their input as to what they wanted at the site. The next phase — El Corazon Committee 2.0 version - would be the design phase, which is the phase we are in now. The challenge for the ECOC has been to take that vision plan and the master plan approved by Council and put meat on the bones. The next phase — the El Corazon Committee 3.0 version — deals with the implementation phase. In many ways this is the most crucial phase because this oversight committee sits at the point of the spear with regard to the development of this project going forward. They know what the vision was, and they are tied into what the community wants in terms of the scheme and design of the site; so their role is very important. That is why, when you get the draft specific plan, you will see a recommendation in there for a continued role of some form of an El Corazon Committee, whether it is an oversight committee, etc.; whatever form that committee takes is entirely up to the Council's discretion, as well as whether to have a committee. It will be the recommendation of ECOC that there will be some sort of citizen-based El Corazon committee going forward until this project is fully developed. There are other alternatives to having a committee, i.e. it could be done by Parks and Recreation, by the Economic Development Commission, etc. However, in his opinion he does not know that either are as well suited to address the implementation of the plan as would an El Corazon committee whose only role is the implementation of the plan.

Implementation of the plan includes how we go about soliciting grants. Those grants relate specifically to areas within the park because ECOC believes this could be a very big draw for grant providers throughout the country. He is currently reviewing a number of documents relating to the solicitation of federal grants regarding wildlife, plants/agriculture, etc., all of which play a role at El Corazon. We would have a place not only be recreation, but also for education of our youth about their environment.

To address the Environmental Impact Report (EIR), **JERRY HITTLEMAN**, City Planner, reiterated we are sending the EIR out for review tomorrow through November 6. We looked at a number of potential impacts in the project including traffic; geology reclamation since the site has been used as a mining site for a long time; air quality; and biology in conformance with our draft Subarea Plan, which the project does meet. We also looked at project alternatives. One would be to add 300 residential units in the southwest corner of the site in the village commercial area; another was adding approximately 100,000 square feet of office along Oceanside Boulevard to add a bigger office component to the project. He reiterated the meeting to be held on October 27 for the various commissions. We hope to finalize the EIR in January 2009 and send the project to the Planning Commission for a recommendation to the City Council hopefully in March 2009.

Regarding the Request for Qualifications (RFQ), **DOUG EDDOW**, Real Estate Manager, briefly reviewed the RFQ and the Request for Proposals (RFP) process that we hope Council will approve once the EIR is certified and the specific plan is approved. This is in concurrence with the ECOC. We hope to get an RFQ/RFP out to the development community, to the recreational development community, the recreational operators to see the level of interest in El Corazon and what contributions they can make towards the development and financing of the project. This will help us as we come back to Council with a more detailed financing plan to implement this project, which is significant in its cost to develop.

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER LAWRENCE stated that concludes our overview tonight. We are not asking for any action at this point. We hope to be back to Council in March.

[There was no public input]

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ stated this was given to us in 1994; the City had citywide discussions and adopted the vision of El Corazon in 1997. In 1998 it was given to the Manchester project until 2003. In 2002 there was an initiative placed on the ballot; in 2003 the original committee was created; and they returned to Council 2 years later with this master plan. We got the property in 1994 with \$1,400,000 for reclamation. This is a tremendous opportunity for the City. She thinks the organized sports have a stake in this in that tournaments will help to maintain the fields, etc. People want this to happen, so she does not anticipate any problems with the EIR.

Regarding a policy decision, it would be her direction to continue with the ECOC to keep the focus.

COUNCILMEMBER FELLER asked if there has been any thought about artificial surfaces.

MR. MATTHEWS stated surfaces of ball fields are a concern for ECOC because we would be spending our money. One thing they looked at was to determine what the best surface was in terms of maintenance/upkeep and expense. We have not finalized anything on that yet and won't until we get further along. He would recommend that the ECOC involve the various sports organizations because they know the surfaces that work best. Since the parks are designed as multi-purpose fields, they have to suit various sports.

CITY MANAGER WEISS stated that what will be going out for public review is the specific plan and the EIR, which is more of a land use decision. The issue of the type of surface and the orientation of the fields will come well after the specific plan and EIR are adopted. Tomorrow is a significant day in that the EIR will be out for public review; this is a significant step to start getting public input on the key issues, traffic being one of them.

COUNCILMEMBER FELLER stated the public should know this is about their time, and not a committee of 10 that designs this park. The public needs to comment on this, including our commissions, the athletic leagues, and all. He noted the comment about a project alternative to add 100,000 square feet of office space along Oceanside Boulevard.

MR. HITTLEMAN responded yes, that would be in addition to the figures displayed of the uses within El Corazon. It would be along Oceanside Boulevard next to the commercial site. It would not replace any of the other designations. It would be in a portion of the habitat area that is shown along Oceanside Boulevard.

DEPUTY MAYOR CHAVEZ questioned what the problems will be with traffic in the EIR.

MR. HITTLEMAN stated we have analyzed traffic to a great degree for the project. At full build-out it will generate over 30,000 trips, which will be spread out across the city. Most of the impacts can be mitigated, but there are some un-mitigable impacts with the project, and Council will be asked to make overriding findings; the benefits of the project have to outweigh some of these traffic concerns. That will be identified in the EIR.

To further respond, we do not contemplate any new access points. We did look at the project with and without the Rancho del Oro (RDO) interchange [at Highway 78] because that is still in our Circulation Element. Without the interchange, it looks like the traffic would be distributed a little differently; it would go to College Boulevard and El Camino Real because that is the only way to get to Highway 78. It would be spread more evenly between the 3 if the RDO interchange were to be built.

DEPUTY MAYOR CHAVEZ knows this is preliminary, but he would not be excited about more residential, especially at the level of 300 units. How would a master developer work with the ECOC; what would that relationship be?

MR. EDDOW responded the master developer could perhaps assume development of the entire site and the phases, and as those phases got built, they would interact with whatever committee the Council designates to be around to implement the master plan through the specific plan. The authority of such a committee would be at Council's discretion.

CITY MANAGER WEISS noted that any implementation of any portion of El

Corazon still would need to go through the normal development process. Regardless of whether Council continues with the ECOC, a different committee or no committee, there will still be the public process and Planning Commission/Council actions on implementation of any of the retail, commercial, etc. All of that still goes through a separate public process. So the ECOC could potentially be similar to a commission-type approach, but whether you have them or not, it is a Council decision in the future. Should the EIR be approved in March, there still needs to be subsequent public processes through the appropriate commissions.

DEPUTY MAYOR CHAVEZ knows that we need to go through the Planning Commission process, etc., and is not clear how this committee approach would work because it could possibly be in the way.

MR. MATTHEWS stated what was envisioned by ECOC was not a committee that served as an obstacle, but rather as a facilitator. Whatever development goes on at the site, the developer(s) needs to get up to speed on what the vision was. So should you form such a committee, it could have a twofold role: 1) to be out there to actively solicit grants for the development and upkeep and projects at the park, so it serves a marketing purpose; and 2) to work as a go-between for Planning or Parks and Recreation in terms of ensuring that the development is consistent with the vision and the plan approved by Council.

DEPUTY MAYOR CHAVEZ would have to see how that would work. We do want to keep this on track going forward; however, he imagines when we build out this project that we are talking hundreds of millions of dollars for infrastructure — roads, buildings, parks. It is a big expense. To have volunteers to oversee/facilitate hundreds of millions of dollars, that is a lot of volunteer time. He is open.

The implementation has to go forward. It is time we look at this closer. Unless we put the effort behind it, this will not get done. He is fearful that all the good work and ideas in the previous 6 years over time will be lost, and many of us may be gone; so next year we need to be involved more actively.

COUNCILMEMBER KERN was one of the original members on the oversight committee. He noted that Proposition M failed, and out of that failure, the original and this committee were formed to figure out what to do with this property. He is troubled in continuing the committee. His understanding when he was on the committee was that once we got through the EIR and the specific plan, our job was done. We have a Planning Commission and a Parks and Recreation Commission, and hearing the explanation of another committee, he sees an inherent conflict. We do not need another layer of government to be involved. If there are some major changes, they would have to come back with changes to the specific plan, which is another public process all together.

The map shows a safety center. He knows there is a needs assessment being done, but he does not want it drilled in that this is the site for a safety center. He asked for clarification on the map. He wants that to be an open process on whether the center is on El Corazon. If there is a place for it, that is fine.

MR. MATTHEWS stated that there is one possible location for the public safety center. The specific plan spells that out.

COUNCILMEMBER KERN questioned if the multi-use path includes bicycles.

MR. MATTHEWS responded yes.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ questioned if we could build soccer fields first and have them in use while the rest of the elements are built. Could we do a priority for development?

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER LAWRENCE responded that could be done; it would be a policy decision of the Council, including the funding to pay for the fields.

MR. MATTHEWS noted that our whole mindset is that we want the public at the site as soon as possible. There are things in play, including securing financing to build fields there sooner. That would meet with favorable approval from ECOC. He does not want

the specific plan to be misunderstood as being set in stone. What you see are the proposed uses in the general area where we think they should go and where they work the best for traffic flows, etc. Where they ultimately go will be determined by the master developer or multiple developers as we go forward.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ would want to see a fast-tracking of fields because of the need now. She wants this implemented quickly. If we have that direction, how quickly could we have a field ready to go?

MR. MATTHEWS responded that depends entirely on money and the incentive we give to any developer/vendors that we select to do the process.

COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ questioned how quickly could we have a field if we could use existing infrastructure in terms of a road, and then not have the lights until later.

CITY MANAGER WEISS responded that this part will depend on the RFP/RFQ process. Part will depend on the type of arrangements with a master developer or incremental type developer(s). The bigger issue is the roads, sewer and water to get to the fields. The field is pretty simple. As you look at the site plan, the logical development pattern will be your commercial areas and opportunities to start generating revenue to start building those fields and provide the infrastructure. The biggest cost for the non-commercial areas will be the infrastructure — sewer, water, electrical, etc. to get to those fields. So it will depend on the type of developer(s) we bring in and the phasing and financial backing that they have to expedite it. The goal has been to make sure the public has access fairly soon. There is no simple answer.

COUNCILMEMBER KERN stated water is a key issue. How we water these fields will be a crucial issue going forward.

CITY MANAGER WEISS noted the EIR addresses the issue, and one thing looked at was the potential not just for reclaimed water but the potential for using ground water. There may be available ground water we can use and blend it with potable water. Those things will be looked at.

COUNCILMEMBER KERN stated the issue of water might dictate some of the uses because of the critical nature of water. He is glad there will be flexibility in the specific plan and what recreational uses, i.e. soccer fields, passive use, etc.

MR. MATTHEWS stated they are not making these decisions in a vacuum. The challenge is to use available technology to get what we need at the site in the most efficient manner from both a dollar and an environmental sense. Regarding the history, the project has been blemished by the absence of community involvement in its planning, which was rectified. Once we got the community involved in the planning of the site, the process went smoothly, which is why he believes the community should remain involved in going forward in the implementation of the site so as to ensure that it continues to flow smoothly. If you want the City to be passionate about the site as they are now, you want to keep them involved in the implementation of the projects that are going to go forward at the site.

COUNCILMEMBER KERN stated that Councilmember Feller alluded to that in that we really do need the public here at the public outreach meeting. If anyone has any ideas of what they would like to see at the site, that would be the perfect meeting to provide input.

GENERAL ITEMS

General Items are normally heard after any 6:00 p.m. Public Hearing Items. However, if time permits, some General Items may be heard prior to any 6:00 p.m. Public Hearing Items, following the Consent Calendar.

12. City Council: Approval of the Parks and Recreation Commission FY 2008-10 Workplan

Due to the absence of Commission Chair Charles Adams, **EILEEN TURK**, Parks and Recreation Division Manager, gave an overview of the 10 items that the ad hoc committee has proposed for the 2008-10 Workplan. The specific goals and objectives for this next year

include the Oceanside Parks and Recreation Community Foundation, which would be a fundraising arm to allow raising additional funds for scholarships and helping out with some youth activities; and the Parks & Recreation master plan — a meeting will be held in October to start that process. On July 26 Mance Buchanon Park was opened, so that goal has been accomplished. Regarding skate parks, 2 parks are currently being built — one at Melba Bishop Recreation Center and one at Martin Luther King Park - and those should be opened in December. Regarding the municipal golf course, Bellows Golf and Property Management took over 2 years ago, and there have been many improvements. A Parks and Recreation goal is to continue to work closely to develop the pro-kids golf program. Regarding the Sunshine Brooks Theater, they will continue to participate in the bi-annual review of the implementation of the City's contract with the independent contractor, the New Vision Theater Group.

Regarding the Master plan for the Joe Balderamma recreation center, they have completed the community input process; however, currently they are looking for funding opportunities, so this will return to Council in the future. Further, they will continue to gather community input and interest regarding park development, in collaboration with the Arts Commission in reviewing artistic elements proposed at City parks.

Regarding future recreation facility development, they will continue to formulate recommendations for Council consideration regarding capital improvement projects. They will also continue the San Luis Rey bike trail extension project. Also, a very important item is the El Corazon Senior Center, which is now under construction. The goal is to open the Center in the spring of 2009, and it is on schedule.

She asked for Council's approval of the Parks and Recreation Commission's workplan.

COUNCILMEMBER FELLER moved approval; **COUNCILMEMBER KERN** seconded the motion. **Motion was approved 5-0.**

CITY COUNCIL REPORTS

14. **Mayor Jim Wood** – announced that there is a new executive director of MainStreet Oceanside — Kim Kimball. Kim Heim was thanked for his dedication over the years. He thanked volunteers for the events that took place over the weekend, including the Oceanside Rotary's crab and rib fest, Antiques on Mission, Beach'n Cruise car show, the 58th anniversary of the Inchon invasion in South Korea, Noche Mexicana, etc.
15. **Deputy Mayor Rocky Chavez** – noted that today he attended the Tourism Summit, which they hold once a month. There are many good things going on to try to build the level of economics. In October there will be a California Surfing event.
16. **Councilmember Jack Feller** – reported attending the Oceanside/El Camino High Schools football game, the Crab fest. Tri City In-Line hockey is having their opening day; the GI Forum Mariachi Concert is Saturday; October 4 is St. Mary's Star of the Sea Luau; and today is his granddaughter's 6th birthday.
17. **Councilmember Jerome M. Kern** – stated last Thursday he attended the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) stakeholders' meeting in Orange County. This is the first stage of the Integrated Resource Plan update that will identify water planning up to the year 2030, so it is important to attend. Right now how we implement imported water, local water supply, ground water recharge, de-sal, conservation, reuse, recycling, etc., all these things are coming about and MWD is looking for input from the stakeholders since we pull a lot of water off the California Water project. It is vitally important to our economy and our region; water is the key. He also reported this to the Utilities Commission and urged them to attend the next stakeholder meeting in San Diego. MWD is looking for input on how they see water coming together in Southern California for the next 30 years. This is important to us.

Also, the Utilities Commission is concerned about the vacancies on the Commission. This Commission will be very important in the next 5 years with the water, the wastewater, etc. It will be the key to our economic development. Nothing will close down economic development more than if we don't have water. If this coming winter is like last winter, we

will be in rationing next summer. One thing that will happen could be to cut off residential use first to protect commercial and go down from there. We really have to realize how we deal with water in the next 20-30 years. It is very important.

On September 30 he will tour Orange County's groundwater recharge facility. We are lucky in Oceanside that we have one of the few aquifers in San Diego County. Orange County has quite a few, and they recharge their aquifer with wastewater as further explained. There are a lot of things happening in water; the technology is there to bring water forward into the next century.

On October 17 he will probably go to the Delta and tour the State Water project to see where the water is coming from.

He attended the Buena Vista Lagoon JPA meeting. The concern was about the dissemination of the mitigation funds from the I-5 widening, and he has a call in to CalTrans and SANDAG to make sure we stay on the list. The good news out of the [Carlsbad/Vista] sewage spill is that all the money that was fined gets to stay in the area, so that money will be used by the Lagoon Foundation to complete the technical studies for the EIR so they can complete their master plan. Once the master plan is in place, they will be eligible for some of that mitigation money from the I-5 widening.

18. **Councilmember Esther Sanchez** - announced the 7th Annual Oceanside Music Festival — the OM Fest and the events. Today the Eastside Neighborhood Association received a 2-year grant for \$2,222, as explained. One thing talked about was the coming together of the 2 neighborhoods — Crown Heights and Eastside - and aggressively addressing the gang issue, etc.

INTRODUCTION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCES

The following items are ordinances for introduction or adoption by the City Council/HDB/CDC. Ordinances are laws of the City of Oceanside and require introduction and adoption at two separate City Council meetings (urgency ordinances are an exception, and may be introduced and adopted at one meeting as an emergency measure). The City Council/HDB/CDC has adopted a policy that it is sufficient to read the title of ordinances at the time of introduction and adoption, and that full reading of ordinances may be waived. After the City Attorney has read the titles, the City Council/HDB/CDC may introduce or adopt the ordinances below in a single vote. There will be no discussion of the items unless requested by members of the City Council/HDB/CDC or the public through submittal of a Request to Speak form prior to the commencement of this agenda item.

19. **City Council: Introduction of an ordinance "...of the City Council of the City of Oceanside rescinding Ordinance 07-OR0369-1 regarding City Council salaries and amending Section 2.1.70 of the Oceanside City Code to adjust the salary for members of the City Council in accordance with the provisions of Sections 36516 and 36516.5 of the California Government Code"; and approval of a budget appropriation in the amount of \$10,568.40**

Following the reading of the title, **COUNCILMEMBER FELLER** moved to introduce the ordinance; **COUNCILMEMBER SANCHEZ** seconded the motion; **motion was approved 4-1**, with Deputy Mayor Chavez voting no.

ADJOURNMENT

MAYOR WOOD adjourned this joint meeting of the Oceanside City Council, Community Development Commission and Small Craft Harbor District Board of Directors at 6:35 PM, September 17, 2008.

ACCEPTED BY COUNCIL/HDB/CDC:

Barbara Riegel Wayne
City Clerk, City of Oceanside