<u>City of Oceanside</u> Argument in favor of Proposition F

Vote YES ON PROP F. Support majority elections for fairness and good governance. Voters can better hold elected officials accountable with a majority vote requirement to win an election.

Currently in the City of Oceanside, candidates for public office can be elected without receiving a majority of the votes from Oceanside voters. This is a <u>seriously</u> flawed method that allows a candidate to win with <u>far</u> less than a majority of the total votes cast.

In a previous city election, a candidate won office after receiving <u>only 14%</u> of the vote! Candidates that win by such narrow margins only have to pander to special interest supporters whose views may be dramatically out of touch with the majority of Oceanside residents. In contrast, candidates that have to win a majority of the votes will represent the majority of voters.

By voting YES ON PROP F, Oceanside candidates will have to win "50% plus one vote" just as required for all congressional and state elections, the County of San Diego, the City of San Diego, and the City of Chula Vista.

Oceanside has grown in population to become a much larger city and majority elections are needed for a city our size.

Oceanside will face tough challenges for years to come regarding declining tax revenue, spending, ever increasing pensions, public safety, economic development, private sector job creation, and providing other basic city services. Common sense cries out that the elected officials making these decisions should do so with a voter mandate that can only come from winning a majority of the vote!

Please contact $\underline{www.majorityelections.com}$ for additional information regarding YES ON PROP F.

Join Oceanside residents and the San Diego County Taxpayers Association by VOTING YES ON PROP F.

ATTACHMENT 3

BALLOT ARGUMENT STATEMENT

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s)		
(primary/rebuttal) argument in favor of	(in favor of/against) ballot	
proposition <u>F</u>	(name or number),	
at the Special Municipal Election of the City of Oceanside to be held on Tuesday,		
June 5, 2012 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of		
(his/her/their) knowledge and belief.		
NAME	DATE	
SIGNER #1 Tromas J. Morrow PRINT NAME	03-19-2012	
SIGNATURE SIGNATURE	Retired Newspaper ColumnisT	
SIGNER #2 LARRY W. HATTER PRINT NAME	03/19/2012	
SIGNATURE	INSUPANCE/FINANCIAL CONSULTANT	
SIGNER #3 PRINT NAME	CIVIL EMGINEER/BUSINESMAN	
Graham T. FRASER SIGNATURE	03/19/2012	
SIGNER #4 Gay Felien PRINT NAME	03/19/12	
Han Feller SIGNATURE	Oceanside City Govilment	
SIGNER #5 PRINT NAME		
SIGNATURE	,	

California Election Code, Section 9600

ATTACHMENT 2

BALLOT ARGUMENT STATEMENT

The unders	igned proponent(s) or a	uthor(s) of the primary
(primary/rebu	ttal) argument in favor	st (in favor of/against) ballot
proposition	E	(name or number),
at the Special Municipal Election of the City of Oceanside to be held on Tuesday,		
June 5, 2012 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of		
(his/her/their) knowledge and belief.		
	,	
	NAME	DATE
ANGENERAL AS		
SIGNER #1 _	PRINT NAME	**************************************
•	SIGNATURE	
SIGNER #2	PRINT NAME	**************************************
	SIGNATURE	
SIGNER #3		
	PRINT NAME	
	SIGNATURE	
		s
SIGNER #4	PRINT NAME	
SIGNER #5	SIGNATURE	
	Lani Lutar	3/19/12
CIONER #O	PRINT NAME	
		president/CEO
	SIGNATURE	SAN DIEGO COUNTY TAYPALENS
California Election Code, Section 9600 ASSOCIATION		