AGENDA NO. 3

PLANNING COMMISSION *STAFF REPORT*

DATE: March 25, 2013

TO: Chairperson and Members of the Planning Commission

FROM: Development Services Department/Planning Division

SUBJECT: **CONSIDERATION OF CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (CUP12-00020) FOR EQUIPMENT UPGRADES AND THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF A WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 3156 VISTA WAY – SPRINT @ 3156 VISTA WAY – APPLICANT: SPRINT/NEXTEL C/O ALCATEL LUCENT**

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission by motion:

(1) Confirm issuance of a Class One (1), Categorical Exemption "Existing Facilities"; and,

(2) Adopt Planning Commission Resolution No. 2013-P12 approving Conditional Use Permit CUP12-00020 with findings and conditions of approval attached herein.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Site Review: The project site is located at 3156 Vista Way, on top of an existing commercial office building. The property has a land use designation of Professional Commercial (PC) on the General Plan Land Use Map and is also zoned Professional Commercial (CP) on the official zoning map.

Surrounding land uses include a portion of the El Camino Country Club golf course to the north, single-family residences further north, commercial buildings to the east and west, and Vista Way and Highway 78 to the south.

Project Background: This project site was initially approved for a wireless communications facility pursuant to Planning Commission Resolution 96-P4. The original Conditional Use Permit (C-34-95) granted Nextel Communications Inc. the ability to install up to 12 panel antennas on the roof of an existing commercial building. On January 6, 2004, the project site was modified through a substantial conformity (SC-45-02) to adjust the location of the panel antennas and reduce the number of approved

antennas from 12 to 10. The 10 antennas were to be placed in three sectors, with two groups of four and one group of two antennas, behind a rooftop parapet. This is how the wireless communications facility exists today.

Entitlements granted for Conditional Use Permit (C-34-95) pursuant to Planning Commission Resolution 96-P4, expired on February 12, 2006.

Project Description: The project application is comprised of the following required entitlement:

Conditional Use Permit CUP12-00020 represents a request for the following:

(a) Equipment upgrades necessary to accommodate fourth generation (4G) wireless technologies and the continued operation of a wireless communication facility on an existing commercial building. Facility upgrades consist of the removal of three (3) existing antennas and the installation of three (3) new antennas divided into three (3) sectors, which would be located behind the existing rooftop parapet screen wall. Seven (7) additional existing antennas are proposed to remain with no modifications. Other equipment upgrades include the installation of: three (3) remote radio units (RRU's), one (1) fiber junction box, and three (3) hybriflex fiber optic cables. Additionally, all of the Sprint Coaxial cables that service the existing three (3) antennas would be removed and an existing GPS antenna would be removed and replaced with a new GPS antenna. An existing modcell cabinet would be upgraded to accommodate the new equipment.

Article 39 of the City's Zoning Ordinance (Wireless Communications Facility, Satellite Dish and Antenna Standards) allows the establishment and operation of single provider, building-mounted communications facilities subject to approval of a Conditional Use Permit and is contingent upon standards, findings, and conditions articulated in Article 39 and Article 41 (Use Permits and Variances) of the City's Zoning Ordinance.

The project is subject to the following Ordinances, City policies, and the State of California Government Code:

1. General Plan
2. Zoning Ordinance
3. State of California Government Code 65850
4. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

ANALYSIS

KEY PLANNING ISSUES

1. General Plan conformance

The General Plan Land Use Map designation for the subject property is Professional Commercial (PC). The proposed project is consistent with this designation and the goals and objectives of the City's General Plan as follows:

Land Use Element

Goal 2.726: Communication Systems

Objective: To provide for the efficient and aesthetic functioning of communication systems within the City.

Policies:

A. The City shall encourage planning for the future communication system needs of individual land developments or uses and the City in general.

B. Communication facilities shall be required to conform visually to surrounding land uses and/or natural features.

C. The City shall require the consolidation and joint-use of communication facilities and structures whenever possible.

Sprint seeks to upgrade equipment necessary to accommodate fourth generation (4G) wireless technologies and continue operating a wireless telecommunication facility on an existing commercial building in order to provide the residents of Oceanside the latest in wireless technology by improving call quality, data transmission and speed. Signal coverage maps are attached to this staff report.

The project site is located in a developed area on the roof of an existing office building. Office buildings have proven to be ideal locations for wireless communications facilities because the antenna use can easily be integrated into the operation of the office building, without impacting on-site or surrounding land uses. The proposed antenna upgrades would be completely concealed from public view behind an existing rooftop parapet screen wall.

The Planning Division finds that the proposed project is consistent with General Plan policies pertaining to the efficient operation and aesthetics of communication systems within the City.

2. Zoning Ordinance Compliance

As noted above, the project is subject to Article 39 of the City's Zoning Ordinance, which lists operation and maintenance standards, wireless communication facility standards, locational and site standards, site development standards, and safety and monitoring standards.

The proposed facility would be unmanned, requiring approximately one (1) maintenance visit per month. Standard conditions of approval will ensure that the proposed facility remains in good repair and free of debris, litter, and graffiti, and that any damage or blight shall be corrected upon written notice by the City. In addition, this facility would comply with Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations regarding radiofrequency (RF) emissions safety (see discussion below).

Zoning Ordinance design standards require that wireless communications facilities employ camouflage design techniques in order to minimize visual impacts. As noted earlier, the proposed project would be located behind a rooftop parapet screen wall. This parapet has been designed to match the color, size, proportion, style, texture, and quality of the exterior design and architectural character of the existing commercial building.

Locational and siting standards establish an order of preference for properties on which wireless communications facilities are proposed. The most preferred locations for such facilities are City-owned sites and the least preferred locations are those within residential districts. The proposed project would be located within a commercial district, which is the third most desirable location out of seven. It is staff's position that since the proposed facility would be more than 200 feet removed from the residential neighborhoods to the north, the facility would be sufficiently separated from the surrounding residential zone districts. Furthermore, the height of the building (68 feet above ground level), coupled with the location of the antennas behind a parapet, would mitigate any potentially adverse visual impacts to the surrounding environment.

Site development standards for wireless communications facilities include height limitations that specify that the height of building mounted antennas shall be measured from the building roof the antenna is mounted on to the top of the antenna or screening structure, whichever is higher. The commercial building upon which the antennas are mounted is 68'4" in height. As designed and conditioned, the proposed antennas will not extend beyond the height of the rooftop parapets. The proposed facilities would be consistent with all other applicable development standards for the professional commercial zone, including minimum setbacks from property lines.

At all times, wireless communications facilities are required to comply with the most current regulatory and operational standards including, but not limited to, radiofrequency (RF) radiation exposure standards adopted by the FCC. Based on worst-case predictive modeling, RF exposure on accessible rooftop walking/working surfaces and at ground level would not exceed the FCC's occupational or general public exposure limits.

3. State of California Government Code 65850

California State Government Code 65850.6(b) states that a city shall not unreasonably limit the duration of any permit for a communication facility. Limits of less than 10 years are presumed to be unreasonable absent public safety reasons or substantial land use reasons. The recommended 10-year conditional approval will ensure that technological enhancements, or other analyses of the site, would be accommodated.

DISCUSSION

Issue: *Compliance with Federal Communications Commission (FCC) rules and regulations*

Recommendation: The compliance documentation submitted by Sprint indicates that based on worst-case predictive modeling, there are no RF exposures on any accessible rooftop-level walking/working surface that exceed the FCC's occupational and general public exposure limits at this site. At the nearest walking/working surfaces to the proposed antennas, the maximum power density generated is 14.80 percent of the FCC's general public limit (2.96 percent of the FCC's occupational limit). Additionally, there are no areas at ground level that exceed exposure limits. At ground-level, the maximum power density generated by the antennas is 0.70 percent of the general public limit (0.14 percent of the FCC's occupational limit). Thus, staff finds that the proposed project is in compliance with FCC regulations.

Issue: *Compatibility with surrounding land uses*

Recommendation: In evaluating the compatibility of the proposed project with the surrounding environment, staff has considered the visual impacts of the proposed project. Staff finds that the proposed project would not have adverse visual impacts on adjacent commercial buildings, residential neighborhoods, and roadways due to the proposed camouflage design, the height of the proposed facility and the distance between the facility and the nearest residential properties. The facility has been in existence for more than 15 years and no complaints have been filed with the City in regards to visual impacts.

For the reasons established above, it has been determined that the proposed facility would be compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not diminish the aesthetic value of the surrounding area.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

Planning Division staff has completed a preliminary review of this project in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), 1970. Based on that review, staff finds that the proposed project constitutes operations within existing facilities that will not involve expansion beyond what exist on-site at this time, and the project is categorically exempt, Class 1, "Existing Facilities" (Section 15301).

PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

Legal notice was published in the North County Times and notices were sent to property owners of record within a 300-foot radius of the subject property, individuals and/or organizations requesting notification, the applicant and other interested parties.

SUMMARY

The request for approval of the Conditional Use Permit to allow for equipment upgrades necessary to accommodate fourth generation wireless technologies (4G) and the continued operation of a wireless telecommunication facility on an existing commercial building is consistent with the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and the land use policies of the General Plan. The project meets all applicable development standards and will not impact existing land uses in the immediate area. As such, staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the project based on the findings and subject to the conditions contained in the attached resolution. Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

-- Confirm issuance of a Class One (1), Categorical Exemption "Existing Facilities"; and,

-- Adopt Planning Commission Resolution No. 2013-P12 approving Conditional Use Permits CUP12-00020 with findings and conditions of approval attached herein.

PREPARED BY:

Sally Schiffman
Project Planner

SUBMITTED BY:

Marisa Lundstedt
City Planner

ML/SS/fil

Attachments:
1. Plans dated January 9, 2013
2. Planning Commission Resolution No. 2013-P12
3. Signal Coverage Maps

DIGALERT
DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG — TWO WORKING DAYS BEFORE YOU DIG
TOLL FREE 1-800-227-2600
A PUBLIC SERVICE BY UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT

NETWORK VISION MMBTS LAUNCH

MIRA COSTA

(CA5153)

SD73XC160

BUILDING ROOFTOP

3156 W. VISTA WAY

OCEANSIDE, CA 92056

SAN DIEGO COUNTY

LATITUDE: 33° 10' 58.116" N (33.18281000 N)

LONGITUDE: 117° 19' 29.136" W (-117.32476000 W)

SHEET	DESCRIPTION
T1	TITLE SHEET
T2	GENERAL NOTES
T3	SIGNS AND NOTES
T4	LEGAL DESCRIPTION
A1	SITE PLAN
A2	EQUIPMENT LAYOUT PLAN
A3	EXISTING & FINAL ANTENNA LAYOUT PLAN
A4	ELEVATIONS
A5	CABLE COLOR CODING REQUIREMENTS
A6	ANTENNA COLOR CODING
A7	DETAILS
A8	DETAILS & SPECIFICATIONS

SHEET INDEX

CODE BLOCK

CALIFORNIA STATE CODE COMPLIANCE:

ALL WORK AND MATERIALS SHALL BE PERFORMED AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. NOTHING IN THESE PLANS IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO PERMIT WORK NOT CONFORMING TO THESE CODES.

- CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (INCL. TITLES 24 & 25) 2010
- CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODES 2010
- CITY / COUNTY ORDINANCES/ LABC 2011
- BUILDING OFFICIALS & CODE ADMINISTRATORS (BOCA)
- CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODES 2010
- ANSI / EIA-222-F LIFE SAFETY CODE NFPA-101
- CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODES 2010
- CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODES 2010
- CALIFORNIA FIRE CODES 2010
- LOCAL BUILDING CODES 2010

ACCESSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS:

FACILITY IS UNMANNED AND NOT FOR HUMAN HABITATION. HANDICAPPED ACCESS REQUIREMENTS ARE NOT REQUIRED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2010 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE.

VICINITY MAP

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

SPRINT PROPOSES TO MODIFY AN EXISTING UNMANNED TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY:

- REMOVE (3) (E) 'SPRINT' CDMA PANEL ANTENNAS
- REMOVE (2) (E) 'SPRINT' IDEN PANEL ANTENNAS
- INSTALL (3) (N) PANEL ANTENNAS
- INSTALL (3) (N) RRH'S
- REMOVE ALL (E) UNUSED ANTENNA COAX
- INSTALL (3) NEW HYBRIFLEX CABLES USING (E) COAX ROUTE
- RETROFIT (E) MODCELL 4.0B EQUIPMENT CABINET
- INSTALL (1) (N) HYBRIFLEX DISTRIBUTION BOX
- INSTALL (1) (N) GPS ANTENNA MOUNTED AT ROOFTOP PARAPET

PROJECT ARCHITECT:
MSA ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING
3194-C1 AIRPORT LOOP DR.
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626
CONTACT: MIKE SHEWBRIDGE
PH: (949) 251-1177

CONSULTING GROUP:
MSA ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING
3194-C1 AIRPORT LOOP DR.
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626
CONTACT: MIKE SHEWBRIDGE
PH: (949) 251-1177

SITE ACQ. PROJECT MANAGER:
ALCATEL-LUCENT
CONTACT: STEVE LAYMAN
PHONE: (619) 417-6295
EMAIL: steve.layman@alcatel-lucent.com

CONSTRUCTION MANAGER:
ALCATEL-LUCENT
CONTACT: ROGER E. RATAJ
PHONE: (760) 978-9095
EMAIL: roger.rataj@alcatel-lucent.com

PLANNING CONSULTANT:
SURESITE CONSULTING GROUP, LLC
5955 DESOTO AVE., STE. 142
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367
CONTACT: PATRICK DIGMANN - PROJECT MANAGER
PHONE: (949) 400-6368

ELECTRICAL ENGINEER:
MSA ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING
3194-C1 AIRPORT LOOP DR.
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626
CONTACT: MIKE SHEWBRIDGE
PH: (949) 251-1177

POWER COMPANY:
SDG&E
8330 CENTURY PARK CT.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
PHONE: (858) 626-3907

TELCO COMPANY:
AT&T
7190 OTHELLO AVE.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92111
PHONE: (800) 248-3632

PROJECT TEAM

APPROVAL	SIGNATURE	DATE
PROJECT MGR		
CONST. MGR		
RF ENGINEER		
SITE ACQ.		
PLANNING CONSULTANT		
PROPERTY OWNER		
SPRINT REP		

SIGNATURE BLOCK

FROM SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:

1. HEAD WEST ON AIRPORT TERMINAL RD
2. KEEP RIGHT AT THE FORK
3. TURN RIGHT ONTO N HARBOR DR
4. TURN RIGHT ONTO LANING RD
5. TURN RIGHT ONTO ROSECRANS ST
6. KEEP LEFT AT THE FORK
7. CONTINUE ONTO CAMINO DEL RIO W
8. KEEP RIGHT AT THE FORK, FOLLOW SIGNS FOR I-5/LOS ANGELES AND MERGE ONTO I-5 N
9. TAKE EXIT 51B TO MERGE ONTO CA-78 E TOWARD ESCONDIDO
10. TAKE THE EL CAMINO REAL/COUNTY HIGHWAY S11 EXIT
11. TURN LEFT ONTO EL CAMINO REAL
12. TAKE THE 1ST RIGHT ONTO VISTA WAY

DESTINATION WILL BE ON THE RIGHT

DRIVING DIRECTIONS

APPLICANT:
ALCATEL - LUCENT
ON BEHALF OF SPRINT NEXTEL
9605 SCRANTON RD., STE. 400
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121
CONTACT: STEVE LAYMAN
PHONE: 619-417-6295

PROPERTY OWNER:

OWNER:	GREENE PROPERTIES, INC.
ADDRESS	P.O. BOX 1388 VISTA, CA 92085
CONTACT:	BUFFIE GOLD
EMAIL:	(760) 728-6888
PARCEL NUMBER(S):	165-192-14-00
ZONING CLASSIFICATION:	CP COMM. / PROF.
OCCUPANCY:	---
JURISDICTION:	CITY OF OCEANSIDE

PROJECT SUMMARY

Sprint

AlcatelLucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3194-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1120
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-ap.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:
01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:
100% CD

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
B	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JG
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JG

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:
TITLE SHEET

SHEET NUMBER: T1
REVISION: 2
CUP12-00020

Jan 10, 2013 - 12:35pm jguido P:_ALL\SPRINT\ACTIVE SITES\SD SITES\SD73XC160\2-CD ANTENNA MODIFICATION\3-CD\SD73XC160_ CD.dwg

CUP12-00020

SITE WORK GENERAL NOTES:

1. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UTILITY LOCATING SERVICES PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. ALL EXISTING ACTIVE SEWER, WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC, AND OTHER UTILITIES WHERE ENCOUNTERED IN THE WORK, SHALL BE PROTECTED AT ALL TIMES, AND WHERE REQUIRED FOR THE PROPER EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SHALL BE RELOCATED AS DIRECTED BY CONTRACTOR. EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE USED BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR WHEN EXCAVATING OR DRILLING PIERS AROUND OR NEAR UTILITIES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SAFETY TRAINING FOR THE WORKING CREW. THIS WILL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO A) FALL PROTECTION B) CONFINED SPACE C) ELECTRICAL SAFETY D) TRENCHING & EXCAVATION.
3. ALL SITE WORK SHALL BE AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS AND PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.
4. IF NECESSARY, RUBBISH, STUMPS, DEBRIS, STICKS, STONES AND OTHER REFUSE SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE AND DISPOSED OF LEGALLY.
5. ALL EXISTING INACTIVE SEWER, WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC AND OTHER UTILITIES, WHICH INTERFERE WITH THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SHALL BE REMOVED AND/OR CAPPED, PLUGGED OR OTHERWISE DISCONTINUED AT POINTS WHICH WILL NOT INTERFERE WITH THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF CONTRACTOR, OWNER AND/OR LOCAL UTILITIES.
6. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE TO EXISTING SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION.
7. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SITE SIGNAGE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION FOR SITE SIGNAGE.
8. THE SUB GRADE SHALL BE COMPACTED AND BROUGHT TO A SMOOTH UNIFORM GRADE PRIOR TO FINISHED SURFACE APPLICATION.
9. THE AREAS OF THE OWNERS PROPERTY DISTURBED BY THE WORK AND NOT COVERED BY THE TOWER, EQUIPMENT OR DRIVEWAY, SHALL BE GRADED TO A UNIFORM SLOPE, AND STABILIZED TO PREVENT EROSION AS SPECIFIED IN THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.
10. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE TO EXISTING SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES, IF REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION, SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE LOCAL GUIDELINES FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.

CONCRETE AND REINFORCING STEEL NOTES:

1. ALL CONCRETE WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ACI 301, ACI 318, ACI 336, ASTM A184, ASTM A185 AND THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATION FOR CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE.
2. ALL CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 4000 PSI AT 28 DAYS, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
3. REINFORCING STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A 615, GRADE 60, DEFORMED UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. WELDED WIRE FABRIC SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A 185 WELDED STEEL WIRE FABRIC UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. SPLICES SHALL BE CLASS "B" AND ALL HOOKS SHALL BE STANDARD, UNO.
4. THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM CONCRETE COVER SHALL BE PROVIDED FOR REINFORCING STEEL UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE ON DRAWINGS:
   CONCRETE CAST AGAINST EARTH.....3 IN.
   CONCRETE EXPOSED TO EARTH OR WEATHER:
   #6 AND LARGER .....2 IN.
   #5 AND SMALLER & WWF.....1 1/2 IN.
   CONCRETE NOT EXPOSED TO EARTH OR WEATHER OR NOT CAST AGAINST THE GROUND:
   SLAB AND WALL .....3/4 IN.
   BEAMS AND COLUMNS.....1 1/2 IN.
5. A CHAMFER 3/4" SHALL BE PROVIDED AT ALL EXPOSED EDGES OF CONCRETE, UNO, IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACI 301 SECTION 4.2.4.
6. INSTALLATION OF CONCRETE EXPANSION/WEDGE ANCHOR, SHALL BE PER MANUFACTURER'S WRITTEN RECOMMENDED PROCEDURE. THE ANCHOR BOLT, DOWEL OR ROD SHALL CONFORM TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATION FOR EMBEDMENT DEPTH OR AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS. NO REBAR SHALL BE CUT WITHOUT PRIOR ENGINEERING APPROVAL WHEN DRILLING HOLES IN CONCRETE. EXPANSION BOLTS SHALL BE PROVIDED BY RAWLSET/REDHEAD OR APPROVED EQUAL, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. SPECIAL INSPECTIONS, WHEN REQUIRED BY GOVERNING CODES, SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN MANUFACTURER'S MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE LOADS.

STRUCTURAL STEEL NOTES:

1. ALL STEEL WORK SHALL BE PAINTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A36 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
2. ALL WELDING SHALL BE PERFORMED USING E70XX ELECTRODES AND WELDING SHALL CONFORM TO AISC AND AWS D1.1. WHERE FILLET WELD SIZES ARE NOT SHOWN, PROVIDE THE MINIMUM SIZE PER TABLE J2.4 IN THE AISC "MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION" PAINTED SURFACES SHALL BE TOUCHED UP.
3. BOLTED CONNECTIONS SHALL BE ASTM A325 BEARING TYPE (3/4"ø) CONNECTIONS AND SHALL HAVE MINIMUM OF TWO BOLTS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
4. ON-STRUCTURAL CONNECTIONS FOR STEEL GRATING MAY USE 5/8" DIA. ASTM A 307 BOLTS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
5. INSTALLATION OF CONCRETE EXPANSION/WEDGE ANCHOR, SHALL BE PER MANUFACTURER'S WRITTEN RECOMMENDED PROCEDURE. THE ANCHOR BOLT, DOWEL OR ROD SHALL CONFORM TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATION FOR EMBEDMENT DEPTH OR AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS. NO REBAR SHALL BE CUT WITHOUT PRIOR ENGINEERING APPROVAL WHEN DRILLING HOLES IN CONCRETE. SPECIAL INSPECTIONS, REQUIRED BY GOVERNING CODES, SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN MANUFACTURER'S MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE LOADS.
6. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO THE FOLLOWING:

A.	ASTM A-572, GRADE 50	: ALL SHAPES
B.	ASTM A-307	: ALL BOLTS, UNO.
C.	ASTM A-36	: ANGLES, CHANNELS, PLATES AND MISC.
D.	ASTM A-500, GRADE B	: ALL TUBES.

APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES AND STANDARDS:

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION (AHJ) FOR THE LOCATION. THE EDITION OF THE AHJ ADOPTED CODES AND STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN. THE 2010 CBC, 2010 CMC, 2010 CPC AND 2008 NEC ARE APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT.

BUILDING CODE:
[CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE (CBC), 2010 AS ADOPTED BY THE GOVERNING JURISDICTION]

ELECTRICAL CODE:
[NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION (NFPA) 70 – 2008, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE, AS ADOPTED BY THE GOVERNING JURISDICTION]
LIGHTNING PROTECTION CODE:
[NFPA 780 – 2010, LIGHTNING PROTECTION CODE]

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS.
AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 318, BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (AISC), MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, ASD, NINTH EDITION
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (TIA) 222-G, STRUCTURAL STANDARD FOR STRUCTURAL ANTENNA TOWER AND ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES

INSTITUTE FOR ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS (IEEE) 81, GUIDE FOR MEASURING EARTH RESISTIVITY, GROUND IMPEDANCE, AND EARTH SURFACE POTENTIALS OF A GROUND SYSTEM
IEEE 1100 (2010) RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR POWERING AND GROUNDING OF ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT

IEEE C62.41, RECOMMENDED PRACTICES ON SURGE VOLTAGES IN LOW VOLTAGE AC POWER CIRCUITS (FOR LOCATION CATEGORY "C3" AND "HIGH SYSTEM EXPOSURE")

TIA 607 COMMERCIAL BUILDING GROUNDING AND BONDING REQUIREMENTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS

TELCORDIA GR-63 NETWORK EQUIPMENT-BUILDING SYSTEM (NEBS): PHYSICAL PROTECTION

TELCORDIA GR-347 CENTRAL OFFICE POWER WIRING

TELCORDIA GR-1275 GENERAL INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS

TELCORDIA GR-1503 COAXIAL CABLE CONNECTIONS

FOR ANY CONFLICTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN. WHERE THERE IS CONFLICT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT, THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN.

GENERAL NOTES:

1. FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION DRAWING, THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS SHALL APPLY:
   CONTRACTOR – BUILD CONTRACTOR
   SUBCONTRACTOR – GENERAL CONTRACTOR (CONSTRUCTION)
   OWNER – SPRINT WIRELESS SERVICES.
2. ALL SITE WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS AND PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.
3. DRAWINGS PROVIDED HERE ARE NOT TO BE SCALED AND ARE INTENDED TO SHOW OUTLINE ONLY.
4. ALL MASTERIALS FURNISHED AND INSTALLED SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES, REGULATIONS, AND ORDINANCES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL ISSUE ALL APPROPRIATE NOTICES AND COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES, RULES, REGULATIONS, AND LAWFUL ORDERS OF ANY PUBLIC AUTHORITY REGARDING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK.
5. ALL WORK CARRIED OUT SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE MUNICIPAL AND UTILITY COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONAL CODES, ORDINANCES AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS.
6. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MASTERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES, AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.
7. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MASTERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE.
8. IF THE SPECIFIED EQUIPMENT CANNOT BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS, THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE INSTALLATION SPACE FOR APPROVAL BY THE CONTRACTOR.
9. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS, PAVEMENTS, CURBS, LANDSCAPING AND STRUCTURES. ANY DAMAGED PART SHALL BE REPAIRED AT SUBCONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF OWNER.
10. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UTILITY LOCATING SERVICES PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
11. ALL EXISTING ACTIVE SEWER, WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC, AND OTHER UTILITIES WHERE ENCOUNTERED IN THE WORK, SHALL BE PROTECTED AT ALL TIMES, AND WHERE REQUIRED FOR THE PROPER EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SHALL BE RELOCATED AS DIRECTED BY ENGINEERS. EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE USED BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR WHEN EXCAVATING OR DRILLING PIERS AROUND OR NEAR UTILITIES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SAFETY TRAINING FOR THE WORKING CREW. THIS WILL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO A) FALL PROTECTION B) CONFINED SPACE C) ELECTRICAL SAFETY D) TRENCHING & EXCAVATION.
12. ALL EXISTING INACTIVE SEWER, WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC AND OTHER UTILITIES, WHICH INTERFERE WITH THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SHALL BE REMOVED AND/OR CAPPED, PLUGGED OR OTHERWISE DISCONTINUED AT POINTS WHICH WILL NOT INTERFERE WITH THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, AS DIRECTED BY THE RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER, AND SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE OWNER AND/OR LOCAL UTILITIES.
13. THE AREAS OF THE OWNER'S PROPERTY DISTURBED BY THE WORK AND NOT COVERED BY THE TOWER, EQUIPMENT OR DRIVEWAY, SHALL BE GRADED TO A UNIFORM SLOPE, AND STABILIZED TO PREVENT EROSION.
14. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE TO EXISTING SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES, IF REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION, SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE LOCAL GUIDELINES FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.
15. NO FILL OR EMBANKMENT MASTERIAL SHALL BE PLACED ON FROZEN GROUND. FROZEN MASTERIALS, SNOW OR ICE SHALL NOT BE PLACED IN ANY FILL OR EMBANKMENT.
16. THE SUB GRADE SHALL BE COMPACTED AND BROUGHT TO A SMOOTH UNIFORM GRADE PRIOR TO FINISHED SURFACE APPLICATION.
17. THE SITE SHALL BE GRADED TO CAUSE SURFACE WATER TO FLOW AWAY FROM THE BTS EQUIPMENT AND TOWER AREAS.
18. IF NECESSARY, RUBBISH, STUMPS, DEBRIS, STICKS, STONES AND OTHER REFUSE SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE AND DISPOSED OF LEGALLY.
19. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SITE SIGNAGE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION FOR SITE SIGNAGE.

ABBREVIATIONS

TYP	TYPICAL	IGR	INTERIOR GROUND RING (HALO)
REQ	REQUIRED	RBS	RADIO BASE STATION
EGR	EQUIPMENT GROUND RING		
AWG	AMERICAN WIRE GAUGE		
MGB	MASTER GROUND BUS		
EG	EQUIPMENT GROUND		
BCW	BARE COPPER WIRE		
SIAD	SMART INTEGRATED ACCESS DEVICE		
GEN	GENERATOR		
AGL	BELOW GRADE LEVEL		
BTS	BASE TRANSCEIVER STATION		
(E)	EXISTING		
MIN	MINIMUM		
N.T.S.	NOT TO SCALE		
REF	REFERENCE		
RF	RADIO FREQUENCY		
T.B.D.	TO BE DETERMINED		
T.B.R.	TO BE RESOLVED		

Sprint

AlcatelLucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3184-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1120
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-ap.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:
01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:
100% CD

REV.	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
3	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
4	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JG
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JG

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:
GENERAL NOTES

SHEET NUMBER: T2
REVISION: 2
CUP12-00020

BATTERY INFORMATION / NOTES:

BATTERY MFG.:	EAST PENN MANUFACTURING
MODEL NO.:	12AV-145L
ELECTROLYTE CONTENT PER BATTERY:	2.17 GALLONS
ELECTROLYTE HAZARD CLASSIFICATION PER '07 C.C. (8.7% SULFURIC ACID):	CORROSIVE
No. OF BATTERIES TO BE INSTALLED:	20 MAX.
TOTAL ELECTROLYTE CONTAINED ON SITE (2.17 x 20 = 43.4):	43.4 GALLONS MAX.

A. AN OPERATIONAL PERMIT IS REQUIRED TO STORE, TRANSPORT ON SITE, DISPENSE, USE OR HANDLE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IN EXCESS OF 55 GALLONS PER CHAPTER 1, DIVISION II, SECTION 105, ARTICLE 105.6.20, TABLE 105.6.20 OF THE CFC 2010 EDITION.

B. STATIONARY STORAGE BATTERY SYSTEMS HAVING AN ELECTROLYTE CAPACITY OF MORE THAN 50 GALLONS (189 L) FOR FLOODED LEAD-ACID, NICKEL CADMIUM (Ni-Cd) AND VALVE-REGULATED LEAD-ACID (VRLA), OR 1000 POUNDS (454 kg) FOR LITHIUM-ION AND LITHIUM METAL POLYMER, USED FOR FACILITY STANDBY POWER, EMERGENCY POWER OR UNINTERRUPTED POWER SUPPLIES SHALL COMPLY WITH CHAPTER 6, SECTION 608, TABLE 608.1 OF THE CFC 2010 EDITION.

TABLE 608.1 BATTERY REQUIREMENTS

REQUIREMENT	NONRECOMBINANT BATTERIES		RECOMBINANT BATTERIES		OTHER
	Flooded Lead Acid Batteries	Flooded Nickel-Cadmium (Ni-Cd) Batteries	Valve Regulated Lead-Acid (VRLA) Batteries	Lithium-Ion Batteries	Lithium Metal Polymer
Safety caps	Venting caps (608.2.1)	Venting caps (608.2.1)	Self-resealing flame-arresting caps (608.2.2)	No caps	No caps
Thermal runaway management	Not required	Not required	Required (608.3)	Not required	Required (608.3)
Spill control	Required (608.5)	Required (608.5)	Not required	Not required	Not required
Neutralization	Required (608.5.1)	Required (608.5.1)	Required (608.5.2)	Not required	Not required
Ventilation	Required (608.6.1, 608.6.2)	Required (608.6.1, 608.6.2)	Required (608.6.1, 608.6.2)	Not required	Not required
Signage	Required (608.7)	Required (608.7)	Required (608.7)	Required (608.7)	Required (608.7)
Seismic protection	Required (608.8)	Required (608.8)	Required (608.8)	Required (608.8)	Required (608.8)
Smoke detection	Required (608.9)	Required (608.9)	Required (608.9)	Required (608.9)	Required (608.9)

FIRE DEPARTMENT NOTES:

A. FIRE DEPARTMENT FINAL INSPECTION REQUIRED. SCHEDULE INSPECTION 2 DAYS IN ADVANCE.

B. A CFC PERMIT TO OPERATE BATTERY SYSTEM WITH STATIONARY LEAD-ACID BATTERIES IS NOT REQUIRED FOR THE QUANTITIES ON SITE.

C. A CFC PERMIT MAY BE REQUIRED FOR THE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ON SITE.

D. A HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IDENTIFICATION SIGN IS REQUIRED FOR ALL ENTRANCES INTO BATTERY STORAGE AREAS. LETTERS MUST BE AT LEAST 1" IN HEIGHT AND IN A COLOR WHICH CONTRASTS TO THE BACKGROUND OF THE SIGN AND LIST THE FOLLOWING:

CLASS 1 WATER REACTIVE LIQUID
TOXIC LIQUID
CORROSIVE LIQUID
OTHER HEALTH HAZARD LIQUID

E. AN APPROVED METHOD TO NEUTRALIZE SPILLED ELECTROLYTE SHALL BE PROVIDED IN THE BATTERY ROOM.

F. BATTERIES SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH SAFETY VENTING CAPS.

G. LOCATIONS AND CLASSIFICATIONS OF EXTINGUISHERS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM FIRE CODE STANDARD 10-1 AND PLACEMENT IS SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE FIRE CODE REGULATIONS.

H. STORAGE, DISPENSING OR USE OF ANY FLAMMABLE AND COMBUSTIBLE LIQUIDS, FLAMMABLE AND COMPRESSED GASES, AND OTHER HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SHALL COMPLY WITH UNIFORM FIRE CODE REGULATIONS.

I. EXIT DOORS SHALL BE ABLE TO OPEN FROM THE INSIDE WITHOUT THE USE OF KEY OR ANY SPECIAL KNOWLEDGE OR EFFORT.

J. ADDRESS NUMBERS SHALL BE A MINIMUM 6 INCHES HIGH AND PLAINLY VISIBLE FROM ROADWAY BUILDING IS ADDRESSED ON.

K. REQUIRED SIGNAGE SHALL INCLUDE LETTERING HEIGHT OF AT LEAST ONE INCH. IN A COLOR THAT CONTRASTS TO THE SIGN BACKGROUND, AND SHALL BE PROMINENTLY DISPLAYED.

L. REQUIRED SIGNAGE SHALL INCLUDE, BUT MAY NOT BE LIMITED TO, APPLICABLE TYPES FROM EXAMPLES SHOWN HEREIN (SEE SIGNAGE).

BATTERY INFO & FIRE DEPT. NOTES 4



RF SIGNAGE 3

WARNING SIGNAGE 2

IN CASE OF EMERGENCY
CALL
1-866-400-6040
SITE NUMBER: PER SITE
SITE NAME: PER SITE

SITE IDENTIFICATION SIGNAGE 1

Sprint

AlcatelLucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3184-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.261.1177 fax 949.261.1128
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-ap.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:
01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:
100% CD

REV.:	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
B	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JG
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JG

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:
SIGNAGE AND NOTES

SHEET NUMBER: T3
REVISION: 2
CUP12-00020



4182

EXHIBIT "A"
DESCRIPTION OF REAL PROPERTY

This Exhibit "A" is attached to that certain Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing dated October 6, 2011 executed by GREENE PROPERTIES, INC., a California corporation, as Trustor.

ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER(S) OF COLLATERAL PROPERTY:

APN: 163-152-14-00

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Real property in the City of Oceanside, County of San Diego, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL A OF TWO LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT/CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE NO. PLA-5-97, IN THE CITY OF OCEANSIDE, RECORDED APRIL 8, 2003 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2003-0440823 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCELS A, B AND C OF PARCEL MAP NO. 11452, IN THE CITY OF OCEANSIDE, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, ON DECEMBER 14, 1981.

EXHIBIT "A"

Sprint

Alcatel-Lucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3194-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1128
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-ap.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:

01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:

100% CD

REV.	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
1	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
2	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JC
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JC

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SHEET NUMBER: T4

REVISION: 2

CUP12-00020

Jan 09, 2013 - 3:30pm jquido P:_ALL\SPRINT\ACTIVE SITES\SD SITES\SD73XC160\2-CD ANTENNA MODIFICATION\3-CD\SD73XC160_CD.dwg



SITE PLAN

SCALE 1"=40' 0' 10' 20' 40' 80'

1

Jan 09, 2013 - 3:29pm jquido P:_AL\A SPRINT\ACTIVE SITES\SD SITES\SD73XC160\2-CD ANTENNA MODIFICATION\3-CD\SD73XC160_CD.dwg

Sprint

Alcatel-Lucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3964-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1139
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-cp.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:
01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:
100% CD

REV.:	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
B	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JC
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JC

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:
SITE PLAN

SHEET NUMBER: A1

REVISION: 2

CUP12-00020



EXISTING EQUIPMENT PLAN

SCALE 3/4"=1' 0' 3' 6' 1' 2'

1

NOTE:
NO NEW ADDITIONAL BATTERIES NECESSARY.



DURING & NEW EQUIPMENT PLAN

SCALE 3/4"=1' 0' 3' 6' 1' 2'

2

jan 09, 2013 - 3:29pm jquida P:_AU\SPRINT\ACTIVE_SITES\SD_SITES\SD73XC160\2-CD_ANTENNA MODIFICATION\3-CD\SD73XC160_- CD.dwg

Sprint

AlcatelLucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3194-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1129
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-cp.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:

01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:

100% CD

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
B	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JG
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JG

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:

EQUIPMENT LAYOUT PLAN

SHEET NUMBER: A2

REVISION: 2

CUP12-00020



EXISTING ANTENNA PLAN

SCALE 1/2"=1' 0' 6" 1' 2' 4' — 1



FINAL ANTENNA PLAN

SCALE 1/2"=1' 0' 6" 1' 2' 4' — 2

Jan 09, 2013 — 3:28pm jquida P:\...ALU\SPRINT\ACTIVE SITES\SD SITES\SD73XC160\2 CD ANTENNA MODIFICATION\3-CD\SD73XC160_CD.dwg

Sprint

Alcatel-Lucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3194-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1120
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:
01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:
100% CD

REV.	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
0	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JG
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JG

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:
EXISTING & FINAL ANTENNA LAYOUT PLAN

SHEET NUMBER: A3

REVISION: 2

CUP12-00020



FINAL SOUTH ELEVATION SCALE 1/8"=1' 0" 2' 6' 14' **3**



FINAL NORTH ELEVATION SCALE 1/8"=1' 0" 2' 6' 14' **1**



FINAL EAST ELEVATION SCALE 1/8"=1' 0" 2' 6' 14' **4**



FINAL WEST ELEVATION SCALE 1/8"=1' 0" 2' 6' 14' **2**

Sprint

Alcatel-Lucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3194-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1120
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-ap.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:

01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:

100% CD

REV.:	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
0	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JC
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JC

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:

ELEVATIONS

SHEET NUMBER: A4

REVISION: 2

CUP12-00020



TOWER TOP SCENARIO 4

800 and Dual 1900 RRH Pairs with Separate Radome Antennas (800 Hosting 1900 RET)







Jan 09, 2013 - 3:27pm jquiko P:_AU\SPRINT\ACTIVE_SITES\SD_SITES\SD73XC160\2-CD_ANTENNA_MODIFICATION\3-CD\SD73XC160_ CD.dwg

Sprint

AlcatelLucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3194-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1120
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-ap.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:

01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:

100% CD

REV.	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
B	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JC
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JC

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:

CABLE COLOR CODING REQUIREMENTS

SHEET NUMBER: A5

REVISION: 2

CUP12-00020



HYBRID CABLE COLOR CODING

SCALE N.T.S. 4

TYPICAL COAX CABLE COLOR CODING SCHEME

SECTOR	CABLE	FIRST RING	SECOND RING	THIRD RING
1 ALPHA	1	GREEN	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
1	2	BLUE	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
1	3	BROWN	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
1	4	WHITE	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
1	5	RED	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
1	6	SLATE	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
1	7	PURPLE	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
1	8	ORANGE	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
2 BETA	1	GREEN	GREEN	NO TAPE
2	2	BLUE	BLUE	NO TAPE
2	3	BROWN	BROWN	NO TAPE
2	4	WHITE	WHITE	NO TAPE
2	5	RED	RED	NO TAPE
2	6	SLATE	SLATE	NO TAPE
2	7	PURPLE	PURPLE	NO TAPE
2	8	ORANGE	ORANGE	NO TAPE
3 GAMMA	1	GREEN	GREEN	GREEN
3	2	BLUE	BLUE	BLUE
3	3	BROWN	BROWN	BROWN
3	4	WHITE	WHITE	WHITE
3	5	RED	RED	RED
3	6	SLATE	SLATE	SLATE
3	7	PURPLE	PURPLE	PURPLE
3	8	ORANGE	ORANGE	ORANGE

COAXIAL CABLE COLOR CODE

SCALE N.T.S. 3

TECHNOLOGY COLOR CODE	FIRST RING	SECOND RING
800 #1	YELLOW	GREEN
1900 #1	YELLOW	RED
1900 #2	YELLOW	BROWN
RESERVED	YELLOW	BLUE
RESERVED	YELLOW	SLATE
RESERVED	YELLOW	ORANGE
RESERVED	YELLOW	WHITE

FREQUENCY COLOR CODE

SCALE N.T.S. 2

ANTENNA AND CABLE COLOR CODING

(3 SECTORED / MULTIPLE RF CHANNELS)
ASSUMING 8 LINES AND ANTENNAS



1. COLOR BAND TO BE 2" WIDE ON MAIN LINE.
2. SPACING TO BE 1" BETWEEN BANDS AND 2" BETWEEN LINE AND TECHNOLOGY BANDS. NO SPACE BETWEEN TECHNOLOGY COLOR BANDS.
3. COLOR BAND ON JUMPERS 1" WIDE W/ 1" SPACE.
4. START COLOR BANDS 2" BEYOND WEATHERPROOFING.
5. START SECTOR COLOR NEXT TO END CONNECTOR.



ANTENNA & CABLE COLOR CODE

SCALE N.T.S. 1

Sprint

AlcatelLucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3104-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.221.1177 fax 949.221.1120
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-cgp.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:
01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:
100% CD

REV.	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
B	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
1	10-18-12	PREUM CD	JC
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JC

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:
ANTENNA COLOR CODING

SHEET NUMBER: A6
REVISION: 2
CUP12-00020



COMBINER SPECIFICATIONS

SCALE N.T.S. 5

		SECTOR 1	SECTOR 2	SECTOR 3
	Split sector present	No	No	No
1900	1900MHz Azimuth	20	80	200
1900	1900MHz No. of Antennas	1	1	1
1900	1900MHz RAD Center (ft)	67.2	67.2	67.2
1900	1900MHz Antenna Make	Powerwave	Powerwave	Powerwave
1900	1900MHz Antenna Model	7762.00-A	7762.00-A	7762.00-A
1900	1900MHz Horizontal Beamwidth	93	93	93
1900	1900MHz Vertical Beamwidth	6.7	6.7	6.7
1900	1900MHz Antennaheight (ft)	4.3	4.3	4.3
1900	1900MHz AntennaGain (dBd)	14.1	14.1	14.1
1900	1900MHz E_Tilt	0	0	0
1900	1900MHz M_Tilt	0	0	0
1900	1900MHz Carrier_Forecast_Year_2013	3	3	3
1900	1900MHz RRH manufacturer	ALU	ALU	ALU
1900	1900MHz RRH Model	RRH 1900 4X45 65MHz	RRH 1900 4X45 65MHz	RRH 1900 4X45 65MHz
1900	1900MHz RRH Count	1	1	1
1900	1900MHz RRH Location	Top of the Tower/Pole	Top of the Tower/Pole	Top of the Tower/Pole
1900	1900MHz Combiner Model	No Combiner needed	No Combiner needed	No Combiner needed
1900	1900MHz Top_Jumper #1_Length (RRH or Combiner-to-Antenna, ft)	10	10	10
1900	1900MHz Top_Jumper #1_Cable_Model (RRH or Combiner-to-Antenna)	LCF12-50J	LCF12-50J	LCF12-50J
1900	1900MHz Top_Jumper #2_Length (RRH-to-Combiner, ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
1900	1900MHz Top_Jumper #2_Cable_Model (RRH-to-Combiner)	N/A	N/A	N/A
1900	1900MHz Main_Coax_Cable_Length (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
1900	1900MHz Main_Coax_Cable_Model	N/A	N/A	N/A
1900	1900MHz Bottom_Jumper #1_Length (Ground-based-RRH-OR_Combiner-to-Main-Coax, ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
1900	1900MHz Bottom_Jumper #1_Cable_Model (Ground-based-RRH-OR_Combiner-to-Main-Coax)	N/A	N/A	N/A
1900	1900MHz Bottom_Jumper #2_Length (Ground-based-Combiner-to-Main-Coax)	N/A	N/A	N/A
1900	1900MHz Bottom_Jumper #2_Cable_Model (Ground-based-Combiner-to-Main-Coax)	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Azimuth	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz No. of Antennas	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz RAD Center (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Antennaheight	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz AntennaModel	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Horizontal Beamwidth	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Vertical Beamwidth	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Antennaheight (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz AntennaGain (dBd)	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz E_Tilt	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz M_Tilt	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz RRH Manufacturer	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz RRH Model	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz RRH Count	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz RRH Location	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Top_Jumper #1_Length (RRH or Combiner-to-Antenna, ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Top_Jumper_Cable_Model (RRH or Combiner-to-Antenna)	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Main_Coax_Cable_Length (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Main_Coax_Cable_Model	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Bottom_Jumper #1_Length (Ground-based-RRH-Main-Coax, ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
800	800MHz Bottom_Jumper #1_Cable_Model (Ground-based-RRH-OR_Combiner-to-Main-Coax)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments	5/22/2012			
	B			
	C			

RFDS SCHEDULE

SCALE N.T.S. 6



1900MHz RRH SPECIFICATION

SCALE N.T.S. 3



800MHz RRH SPECIFICATION

SCALE N.T.S. 4



ANTENNA SPECIFICATIONS

SCALE N.T.S. 1



RRH MOUNTING DETAIL

SCALE N.T.S. 2

Sprint

Alcatel-Lucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3194-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1120
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-ap.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:

01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:

100% CD

REV.	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
A	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
B	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JG
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JG
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JG

LICENSURE

SHEET TITLE:

DETAILS

SHEET NUMBER: A7

REVISION: 2

CUP 12-00020

jan 09 2013 - 3:21pm jquidoo P:_AU\A\SPRINT\ACTIVE SITES\SD SITES\SD73XC160\2-CD ANTENNA MODIFICATION\3-CD\SD73XC160- CD.dwg

ANTENNA SPECS.

GPS-TMG-HR-26N

HEIGHT: 5.0 IN.
DEPTH: 3.2 IN.
WEIGHT: 0.6 LBS.

GPS-TMG-HR-26NCM

HEIGHT: 5.0 IN.
DEPTH: 3.2 IN.
WEIGHT: 0.6 LBS.



GPS ANTENNA MOUNTING DETAIL SCALE N.T.S. 5

Alcatel-Lucent

Product: 1900MHz RRH (65MHz)

Product Description
This Remote Radio Head (RRH) supports the 1900MHz spectrum with a Quad Transmit and Quad Receiver configuration supporting 4x 45 W of output power in a dual band configuration

Features / Benefits:

- Supports up to 4 carriers of CDMA in the 1900MHz spectrum and is hardware ready for 4x4 LTE MIMO (dual technology)
- Supports up to 8 carriers of CDMA (single technology)
- Supports AISG
- -48V DC may be powered from Alcatel-Lucent cabinet
- Supports Hybrioflex fiber / power cable bundle

Technical Specifications

Physical Dimensions (HxWxD):	25" x 13.1" x 11.4"
Weight:	60 pounds
Output Power:	4x45W (180W Total)
Frequency Range:	1930-1995MHz Tx / 1850 - 1915 Rx
Command Power (Typ):	ARRW
Instantaneous Bandwidth:	65 MHz
Rx Noise Figure:	3 dB
Antenna Interface:	7/16 DIN Female
Operating Temperature Range:	-40C to +55C
Supports AISG 2.0	

RRH SPECIFICATIONS SCALE N.T.S. 3



JUNCTION BOX DETAIL SCALE N.T.S. 1

NOT USED SCALE N.T.S. 6



EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNTING DETAIL SCALE N.T.S. 4

RADIO FREQUENCY SYSTEMS
The Clear Choice

7762.00-A Specifications — 8 November 2011

7762.00 | Dual High Broadband Cross Polarized

ANTENNA SPECIFICATIONS SCALE N.T.S. 2

Sprint

Alcatel-Lucent

MSA
Architecture & Planning, Inc.
3194-C1 Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
949.251.1177 fax 949.251.1128
Costa Mesa San Diego San Francisco
www.msa-ap.com

PROJECT INFORMATION:

MIRA COSTA

SD73XC160

3156 W. VISTA WAY
OCEANSIDE, CA 92057

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:
01-09-13

ISSUED FOR:
100% CD

REV.:	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:
1	08-01-12	ISSUED FOR 90% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
0	10-03-12	ISSUED FOR 100% CDs FOR REVIEW	JC
1	10-18-12	PRELIM CD	JC
2	01-19-13	100% CD	JC

LICENSURE:

SHEET TITLE:
SPECIFICATIONS

SHEET NUMBER: A8 REVISION: 2

CUP12-00020

PLANNING COMMISSION
RESOLUTION NO. 2013-P12

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY IN THE CITY OF OCEANSIDE

APPLICATION NO: CUP12-00020
APPLICANT: Sprint/Nextel c/o Alcatel Laurent
LOCATION: 3156 Vista Way

THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA DOES RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, there was filed with this Commission a verified petition on the forms prescribed by the Commission requesting a Conditional Use Permit under the provisions of Articles 39 and 41 of the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Oceanside to permit the following:

equipment upgrades necessary to accommodate fourth generation (4G) wireless technologies as described in the Description and Justification and shown on plans dated January 9, 2013 and the operation of a wireless communications facility on an existing commercial office building;

on certain real property described in the project description.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission, after giving the required notice, did on the 25th day of March, 2013 conduct a duly advertised public hearing as prescribed by law to consider said application.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, and State Guidelines thereto; this project is categorically exempt from CEQA per Article 19, Section 15301 Existing Facilities;

WHEREAS, the documents or other material which constitutes the record of proceedings upon which the decision is based will be maintained by the City of Oceanside Planning Division, 300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, California 92054.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Oceanside Zoning Ordinance §4603, this resolution becomes effective 10 days from the date of its adoption in the absence of the filing of an appeal or call for review;

WHEREAS, studies and investigations made by this Commission and in its behalf reveal the following facts:

FINDINGS:

For the Conditional Use Permit (CUP12-00020):

1. The placement, construction, or modification of the wireless communications facility in the proposed location is necessary for the provision of wireless services to City residents, businesses, and their owners, customers, guests or other persons traveling in or about the city. The upgraded equipment will accommodate necessary fourth generation (4G) wireless technologies and facilitate the continued operation of a wireless communications facility on an existing office building.
2. The proposal demonstrates a reasonable attempt to minimize stand-alone facilities, is designed to protect the visual quality of the City, and will not have an undue adverse impact on historic resources, scenic views, or other natural or man-made resources. The project site is located in a developed area on the roof of an existing office building. The proposed antenna upgrades would be completely concealed from public view behind an existing rooftop parapet screen wall.
3. Alternative site locations were not analyzed as the proposed project is intended to allow for equipment upgrades and continued operation of an existing wireless communications facility. However, coverage maps were provided by the applicant demonstrating the need to maintain the existing facility on the service grid.
4. All applicable requirements and standards of Article 39 will be met by the proposed project either as designed or as implemented in accordance with the Conditions of Approval.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission does hereby approve Conditional Use Permit (CUP12-00020) subject to the following conditions:

Building:

1. Applicable Building Codes and Ordinances shall be based on the date of submittal for Building Division plan check.
2. The granting of approval under this action shall in no way relieve the applicant/project from compliance with all State and Local building codes.

3. The building plans for this project are required by State law to be prepared by a licensed architect or engineer and must be in compliance with this requirement prior to submittal for building plan review.

4. Site development, parking, access into buildings and building interiors shall comply with the State's Disabled Accessibility Regulations. (2010 CBC Chapter 11B).

5. A separate/unique address shall be required to facilitate utility releases. Verification that the address has been properly assigned by the City's Planning Division must accompany the Building Permit application.

6. The developer shall monitor, supervise and control all building construction and supporting activities so as to prevent these activities from causing a public nuisance, including, but not limited to, strict adherence to the following:

   a) Building construction work hours shall be limited to between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, and on Saturday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. for work that is not inherently noise-producing. Examples of work not permitted on Saturday are concrete and grout pours, roof nailing and activities of similar noise-producing nature. No work shall be permitted on Sundays and Federal Holidays (New Year's Day, Memorial Day, July 4th, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day) except as allowed for emergency work under the provisions of the Oceanside City Code Chapter 38 (Noise Ordinance).

   b) The construction site shall be kept reasonably free of construction debris as specified in Section 13.17 of the Oceanside City Code. Storage of debris in approved solid waste containers shall be considered compliance with this requirement. Small amounts of construction debris may be stored on-site in a neat, safe manner for short periods of time pending disposal.

Fire:

7. The quantity of lead acid batteries and their electrolyte volume(s) shall be indicted on the construction plans.

8. Stationary Storage Battery Systems having an electrolyte capacity of more than 50 gallons for flooded lead acid, nickel cadmium and valve regulated lead acid, or 1,000 pounds for lithium-ion, used for facility standby power, emergency power or

uninterrupted power supplies shall comply with Section 608 of the California Fire Code current edition, and Table 608.1. If the quantity of electrolyte solution is 10 gallons or greater, visible hazard identification signs, as specified in NFPA 704, shall be placed at the entrance to the battery storage room.

9. Prior to activation, the facility shall have a final inspection by the Fire Department.

Planning:

10. The Conditional Use Permit is granted for the following: equipment upgrades necessary to accommodate fourth generation (4G) wireless technologies and the continued operation of a wireless communications facility on an existing office building. Specific facility upgrades consist of the following: removal of three (3) existing antennas and the installation of three (3) new antennas divided into three (3) sectors behind the existing rooftop parapet screen wall; seven (7) additional existing antennas will remain with no proposed modifications; installation of three (3) remote radio units (RRU's), one (1) fiber junction box, and three (3) hybriflex fiber optic cables; removal of the Sprint Coaxial cables that service the existing three (3) antennas; replacement of an existing GPS antenna; and upgrades to an existing modcell cabinet. Any substantial change in the use or expansion of the wireless communications facility beyond that which is approved by the Planning Commission, shall require a revision to the Conditional Use Permit or new Conditional Use Permit.

11. Conditional Use Permit (CUP12-00020) shall expire March 25, 2015 unless the applicant/operator has obtained a Building Permit and has requested an initial building inspection.

12. Entitlements granted for Conditional Use Permit (CUP12-00020) and approved by this resolution, shall be valid until February 12, 2016.

13. Unless expressly waived, all current zoning standards and City ordinances and policies in effect at the time of building permit issuance shall be met by this project. The approval of this project constitutes the applicant's agreement with all statements in the project Description and Justification and other materials and information submitted with this application, unless specifically waived by an adopted condition of approval.

14. The wireless communications facility permitted by this Resolution shall be erected, operated and maintained in compliance with Article 39.

15. The installation of the wireless communications facility shall be in compliance with all applicable provisions of the State Building Standards Code and any applicable local amendments thereto.

16. No wireless communications facility may, by itself or in conjunction with other wireless communications facilities generate radio frequency (RF) emissions in excess of the standards for permissible human exposure, as provided by applicable federal regulations including 47 C.F.R. 1.1307 *et seq*.

17. Upon or prior to installation, and prior to activation, of the wireless communications facility, the applicant/operator shall submit to the City certification in a form acceptable to the City that the facility will operate in compliance with all applicable Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations including, but not limited to RF emission limitations. Thereafter, upon any proposed increase of a least ten (10) percent in the effective radiated power or any proposed change in frequency use, the applicant/operator shall submit updated certifications for review by the City. Both the initial and update certifications shall be subject to review and approval by the City Planner. At the City's sole discretion, a qualified independent RF engineer, selected by and under contract to the City, may be retained to review said certifications for compliance with FCC regulations. All costs associated with the City's review of these certifications shall be the responsibility of the applicant/operator.

18. Within thirty (30) calendar days following the installation of this wireless communications facility, the applicant/operator shall provide FCC documentation to the City Planner indicating that the unit has been inspected and tested in compliance with FCC standards. Such documentation shall include the make and model (or other identifying information) of the unit tested, the date and time of the inspection, the methodology used to make the determination, the name and title of the person(s) conducting the tests, and a certification that the unit is properly installed and working within applicable FCC standards.

19. The applicant/operator shall maintain the most current information from the FCC regarding the allowable RF emissions and all other applicable regulations and standards. The applicant/operator shall file an annual report to the permit file advising the City of any

regulatory changes that require modifications to the wireless communications facility and of the measures taken by the applicant/operator to comply with such regulatory changes.

20. Absent any modifications to a wireless communications facility that would cause a change to the effective radiated power or frequency use, the applicant/operator shall submit an annual letter to the City Planner certifying that no such changes have been made to the site and that the facility continues to operate within the range allowed by FCC regulations.

21. Any substantial change in the type of antenna and/or facility installed in a particular location shall require the prior approval of the City Planner or his designee. Failure to obtain the prior approval of the City Planner or his designee may be grounds for institution of revocation proceedings as well as grounds to institute any other enforcement action available under federal, state, or local law.

22. Public access to the subject wireless communications facility shall be restricted. Required security measures shall include posting of blue notice signs at rooftop access points.

23. All required and proposed signage shall be shown on approved building plans.

24. The permittee(s) shall exercise a good-faith effort to incorporate the best available equipment technology to effect a reduction in the visual presence of the approved antennas and equipment. Any modifications requested to this facility shall permit the City Planner or his designee to review the existing facility to determine whether requiring new equipment or applying new screening techniques that reduce visual impacts is appropriate, if technically feasible. Upon the City's request and discretion, the permittee(s) shall be required to provide an independently prepared technical analysis demonstrating compliance with this condition. The permittee(s) inability to demonstrate the use of current technologies may be grounds for the institution of revocation proceedings of the Conditional Use Permit.

25. Co-location of wireless communications facilities pursuant to Article 39 shall be required whenever feasible. The permittee(s) shall exercise a good-faith effort to cooperate with other communication providers and services in the operation of a multiple-provider facility, provided such shared usage does not impair the operation of the approved facility. Upon the City's request and discretion, the permittee(s) shall provide an independently prepared technical analysis to substantiate the existence of any

technical prohibitions against the operation of a co-use facility. The permittee(s)' non-compliance with this requirement may be grounds for the institution of revocation proceedings of the Conditional Use Permit.

26. A Maintenance & Facility Removal Agreement shall be executed by the operator and the property owner prior to the issuance of building permits. No permit shall become effective until such agreement has been executed. Said agreement shall bind the operator and property owner and their successors and assigns to the facility to the following:

a) Maintain the facility in good condition, which shall include but not be limited to regular cleaning, painting, and general upkeep and maintenance of the site;

b) Remove the facility when required by Article 39 or by any condition of approval, or when it is determined that the facility will not have been used during any current consecutive six (6) month period, or if the facility will be abandoned;

c) Pay all costs the City reasonably incurs to monitor a facility's compliance with conditions of approval and applicable law;

d) Reimburse the City for any and all costs incurred for work required by Article 39, applicable law, or the conditions of a permit issued by the City for the facility which the operator and property owner fail to perform within 30 days after written notice from the City to do so or sooner if required by the City for good cause;

e) Where the City Planner or Planning Commission or City Council, as the case may be, determines that it is necessary to ensure compliance with the conditions of approval or otherwise provide for removal of the facility that is temporary in nature or upon its disuse, the operator or owner may be required to post a performance bond, cash or a letter of credit or other security acceptable to the City Planner in the amount of ten thousand dollars ($10,000), or such higher amount as the City Planner reasonably determines is necessary to ensure compliance with the Maintenance & Facility Removal Agreement.

27. The subject wireless communications facility shall include signage approved by the City Planner identifying the name and phone number of a party to contact in the event of an

emergency. Such signage must comply with any applicable provisions of Article 39 and Article 33 (sign ordinance).

28. The wireless communications facility and the site on which it is located shall be maintained in good repair, free from trash, debris, litter and graffiti and other forms of vandalism. Any damage from any cause shall be corrected within five (5) days of written notice by the City. Graffiti shall be removed as soon as practicable, and in no event longer than 48 hours after notice by the City.

29. The wireless communications facility shall be operated to minimize noise impacts to surrounding residents and persons using nearby facilities and recreation areas. All equipment that may emit noise in excess of the levels permitted by Article 38 of the City Municipal Code (noise ordinance) shall be enclosed. Backup generators shall only be used during periods of power outages or for testing.

30. Temporary power may be allowed during the initial construction or major repair of the facility for the minimal amount of time necessary to complete the work. The operator shall provide a timeline to the City Planner and keep staff updated as to the time of completion.

31. The wireless communications facility shall be installed and maintained in compliance with the requirements of the Uniform Building Code, National Electrical Code, noise ordinance, and other applicable codes, as well as other restrictions specified in Article 39.

32. This Conditional Use Permit may be revised in accordance with the provisions of the Zoning Ordinance. Any application for a revision to Conditional Use Permit (CUP12-00020) shall be evaluated against the existing land use policies and any site area and neighborhood changes.

33. The Conditional Use Permit may be called for review by the Planning Commission if complaints are filed and verified as valid by the City Planner or Code Enforcement Officer concerning the violation of any of the approved conditions or the project assumptions demonstrated under the application approval.

34. All costs reasonably incurred by the City in verifying compliance and in extending or revoking an approval shall be borne by the applicant and/or permit holder.

35. Failure to meet any conditions of approval for this development shall constitute a violation of this Conditional Use Permit. Conditional Use Permit (CUP12-00020) may be revoked pursuant to Article 47 of the Zoning Ordinance.

36. If the operator of this facility intends to abandon or discontinue the use of this facility, the City shall be notified of such intention no less than sixty (60) days prior to the final day of use.

37. If the use of this facility is discontinued, it shall be considered abandoned ninety (90) days following the final day or use.

38. All abandoned facilities shall be physically removed by the operator no more than ninety (90) days following the final day of use or of determination that the facility has been abandoned, whichever occurs first. When a wireless communications facility has been abandoned, but not removed, the City may cause such facilities to be removed and charge all expenses incurred in such removal to the provider.

39. The approved facility shall be subject to, and governed by, any and all licensing authority by any governmental agency having jurisdiction. The City's local approval of a communications facility shall not exempt the permittee(s) from any such pre-emptive regulations.

40. Prior to the transfer of ownership and/or operation of the use, the owner and/or operator shall provide a written copy of the application, staff report, and resolution for the project to the new owner and/or operator. This notification requirement shall run with the life of the project.

//////////

//////////

//////////

//////////

//////////

//////////

//////////

//////////

//////////

41. A covenant or other recordable document approved by the City Attorney shall be prepared by the applicant and recorded prior to the issuance of building permits. The covenant shall provide that the property is subject to this resolution, and shall generally list the conditions of approval.

PASSED AND ADOPTED Resolution No. 2013-P12 on March 25, 2013 by the following vote, to wit:

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

_____

Tom Rosales, Chairperson
Oceanside Planning Commission

ATTEST:

_____

Marisa Lundstedt, Secretary

I, MARISA LUNDSTEDT, Secretary of the Oceanside Planning Commission, hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of Resolution No. 2013-P12.

Dated: March 25, 2013

SD73XC160 **Coverage with neighboring sites : Current Design**



CUP12-00020

Alcatel·Lucent

SD73XC160 **Coverage with neighboring sites : Proposed Configuration**



CUP12-00020

CUP12-00020

RECEIVED Acct 100660

Application for Discretionary Permit

Development Services Department / Planning Division
(760) 435-3520
Oceanside Civic Center 300 North Coast Highway
Oceanside, California 92054-2885

STAFF USE ONLY

ACCEPTED SEP 13 2012

CITY OF OCEANSIDE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

BY RC CH DH

Please Print or Type All Information

HEARING

PART I – APPLICANT INFORMATION

1. APPLICANT: Sprint Nextel c/o Alcatel Lucent	2. STATUS
3. ADDRESS: 9605 Scranton Road, #400 San Diego, CA 92121	4. PHONE/FAX/E-mail: 619-417-6295
5. APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE (or person to be contacted for information during processing): Ed Gala (Agent for Sprint)	
6. ADDRESS: 20612 Kelvin LN, Huntington Beach, CA	7. PHONE/FAX/E-mail: 714-404-4237

GPA	
MASTER/SP.PLAN	
ZONE CH.	
TENT. MAP	
PAR. MAP	
DEV. PL.	
C.U.P.	CUP12- 00020
VARIANCE	
COASTAL	
O.H.P.A.C.	

PART II – PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

8. LOCATION: 3156 W. Vista Way, Oceanside, CA 92056			9. SIZE: 5.16 Gross Acres
10. GENERAL PLAN: PC	11. ZONING: CP	12. LAND USE: Commercial	13. ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER: 165-192-03
14. LATITUDE: 33 10' 58.116"		15. LONGITUDE: 117 19'29.136"	

PART III – PROJECT DESCRIPTION

16. GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Request for renewal of ~~Administrative~~ Use Permit for existing wireless base station. Also, modernization of the existing base station including replacing existing antennas, add RRHs and replacement of existing equipment cabinet

17. PROPOSED GENERAL PLAN	18. PROPOSED ZONING	19. PROPOSED LAND USE	20. NO. UNITS	21. DENSITY
	same	same	N/A	N/A

22. BUILDING SIZE	23. PARKING SPACES	24. % LANDSCAPE	25. % LOT COVERAGE or FAR
N/A	no change	no change	N/A

PART IV – ATTACHMENTS

X	26. DESCRIPTION/JUSTIFICATION	X	27. LEGAL DESCRIPTION	X	28. TITLE REPORT
X	29. NOTIFICATION MAP & LABELS	X	30. ENVIRONMENTAL INFO FORM	X	31. PLOT PLANS
X	32. FLOOR PLANS AND ELEVATIONS		33. CERTIFICATION OF POSTING	X	34. OTHER (See attachment for required reports)

PART V – SIGNATURES

SIGNATURES FROM ALL OWNERS OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY ARE NECESSARY BEFORE THE APPLICATION CAN BE ACCEPTED. IN THE CASE OF PARTNERSHIPS OR CORPORATIONS, THE GENERAL PARTNER OR CORPORATION OFFICER SO AUTHORIZED MAY SIGN. (ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGAES AS NECESSARY).

35. APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE (Print):	36. DATE	37. OWNER (Print)	38. DATE
Ed Gala (Agent for Sprint)	9/11/12	See LOA	
Sign:		Sign:	

- **I DECLARE UNDER PENALTYOF PERJURY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE AND CORRECT. FURTHER, I UNDERSTANDING THAT SUBMITTING FALSE STATEMENTS OR INFORMATION IN THIS APPLICATION MAY CONSTITUTE FRAUD, PUNISHABLE IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.**
- **I HAVE READ AND AGREE TO ABIDE BY THE CITY OF OCEANSIDE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT AND ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT POLICY NO. 2011-01/POLICY AND PROCEDURE FOR DEVELOPMENT DEPOSIT ACCOUNT ADMINISTRATION.**

CUP12-00020

SureSite

Infrastructure Development Services

Authorized Agent for **Sprint Nextel and Alcatel Lucent**
CUP12-00020 ~~#CUP00020~~
Sprint Nextel Project Number: SD73XC160
Sprint Nextel Project Name: Mira Costa

City of Oceanside
Conditional Use Permit Application
Project Information and Justification

Sprint Nextel is requesting approval of a conditional use permit application to allow the maintenance, upgrading and continued operation of an unmanned wireless telecommunications facility on property already authorized for wireless telecommunication use in the City of Oceanside and presents the following project information for your consideration.

Project Location
Address: 3156 W. Vista Way, Oceanside, CA 92056
APN: 165-192-03
Zoning: CP
General Plan: PC

Project Representative
Name: SureSite Consulting Group, LLC
Address: 20612 Kelvin Lane, Huntington Beach, CA 92646
Contact Information: Ed Gala, 714-709-1523, e.gala@sure-site.com

RECEIVED
NOV 26 2012
CITY OF OCEANSIDE
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Sprint Contact
Name: Steve Layman
Alcatel Lucent
9605 Scranton Road, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92121
(619) 417-6295
steve.layman@alcatel-lucent.com

Project Description
Proposed is the removal of three existing panel antennas mounted behind existing screen walls on the roof of a four story office building and the reinstallation of one new 4G antenna per sector and the placement of one RRU unit behind each antenna. One existing radio equipment cabinet will be retrofitted with the new 4G radios. There will be no net increase in the number of antennas already authorized for this site by PC Resolution No. 96-P4 and Substantial Conformance Review approved 1/6/04. Project improvements are summarized as follows: remove 3 CDMA antennas and replace with 3 new 4G antennas, install 3 RRH units, remove

CUP12-00020

unused coaxial cable, install 3 new fiber optic cables, retrofit existing modcell cabinet, install a new hybriflex junction box, install one new GPS antenna.

Project Objectives
The proposed site maintenance and technology upgrade is intended to provide the residents of Oceanside who are served by this facility, the latest in wireless technology by improving call quality, data transmission and speed.

Alternative Site Analysis
The following locations were evaluated for possible locations for the facility:
NA. Proposed is the modification and maintenance upgrade to an existing Sprint installation

Findings/Burden of Proof

The project site is located in an established developed area consisting of a mix of residential and commercial land uses. Office buildings have proved themselves to be ideal locations for wireless telecommunication facilities because the antenna use can easily be integrated into the operation of the office building, having no negative impact to the functioning of this land use or surrounding land uses. Wireless telecommunication is now an indispensable part of everyday life and wireless customers expect to be provided nearly flawless radio coverage at all locations and at all times. The subject project will provide improved radio coverage, improved data reception and transmission and improved data download speeds within the surrounding area to the benefit of the general health, safety, welfare and convenience of the public in the vicinity of this facility. The proposed project will reduce the number of antennas currently built on site and as such any visual impact that the existing antennas may have generated will be greatly reduced.

The proposed project is in conformance with all city General Plan goals by furthering the health, safety, welfare and convenience of city residents with the improvement and continued operation of a wireless telecommunication facility in an area of the city currently experiencing diminished wireless service. The proposed project is in conformance with all Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations and standards for wireless telecommunication facilities as documented in the attached FCC RF Certification form. All height, site and screening requirements have been met by this project. The installation is emergency 911 compatible with all wireless carriers.

Sprint is authorized and regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to operate their wireless systems in the Southern California market area. Transmit power is typically between 100 to 500 watts per antenna sector, transmitting and receiving at a frequency of 1850.0 -1865.0, and 1930.0-1945.0 MHz. These levels are well below the safety standards established by the FCC and no health impacts are anticipated with this project in conformance with city goals for telecommunication uses. In addition, Sprint does not oppose co-location on the subject building or project site and has left space for additional wireless carriers. This project design

CUP12-00020

SureSite

Infrastructure Development Services

will provide mitigation of future wireless development in the area by allowing for the concentration of additional facilities at one location, thereby minimizing the spread of these facilities throughout the community and providing mitigation of the potential visual impact in more view sensitive locations.

The proposed facility will be unmanned requiring approximately one maintenance visit per month and will not have any significant impact to existing roadways and on and off site circulation nor have any impact on the character of existing development in the neighborhood

Sprint Nextel Company Information

Sprint Nextel is one of the fastest growing nationwide service providers offering all digital voice, messaging and high-speed data services to nearly 30 million customers in the United States.

Sprint Nextel is a "telephone corporation", licensed by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to operate in the 1850.0 -1865.0, and 1930.0-1945.0 MHz frequencies, and a state-regulated Public Utility subject to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The CPUC has established that the term "telephone corporation" can be extended to wireless carriers, even though they transmit signals without the use of telephone lines.

Sprint Nextel will continue to operate this facility in full compliance with the regulations and licensing requirements of the FCC, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the CPUC, as governed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and subsequent modifications, the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (Section 6409) and other applicable laws.

The enclosed application is presented for your consideration. Sprint Nextel requests a favorable determination and approval of a substantial conformance to modify its existing base station. Please contact me with any questions or requests for additional information.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Gala
Authorized Agent for Sprint Nextel and
Alcatel Lucent

CUP12-00020

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
ORDER NO. TG-34331-2

That portion of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 32, Township 11 South, Range 4 West, San Bernardino Meridian, in the City of Oceanside, County of San Diego, State of California, according to Official Plat thereof, described as follows:

Commencing at the Northwest corner of said Section 32; thence Easterly along the Northerly line thereof 1650.25 feet; thence South 5°08'11" East 49.34 feet to an angle point in the boundary line of the land shown as 8.476 Acres per Record of Survey Map No. 5588, also said land being that certain parcel of land described in Deed to Atkins Enterprises, Inc., recorded March 15, 1960 as File No. 52968 of Official Records; thence along the Northerly line of said Atkins Enterprises, Inc., land South 89°34'56" East (Record of Survey No. 5588-South 59°30'55" East) 674.20 feet to the Northwesterly corner of land described in Deed to Theodore L. Vallas, recorded January 5, 1965 as File No. 989 and the True Point of Beginning; thence retracing North 89°34'56" West 674.20 feet to an angle point in the Northerly boundary of said Atkins Enterprises Inc., land; thence continuing along the Northerly boundary of said land, South 68°10'44" West (Record-South 68°13'24" West) 289.64 feet to a point distant thereon North 68°10'44" East 30.43 feet from the Northwesterly corner of said land; thence along the Northerly boundary line of the land as set out in the Action to Condemn Certain Property for Highway Purposes, a copy of which was recorded on February 23, 1965 as Document No. 32091 of Official Records; South 77°55'14" East 91.33 feet; thence South 67°53'56" East 109.53 feet; thence South 79°09'25" East 132.47 feet and South 86°06'06" East to the Southwesterly line of the 150.00 foot strip of land described in Deed to the San Diego Gas and Electric Company, recorded February 15, 1967 as Document No. 20411, being a point herein designated Point "X"; thence continuing along the Northerly boundary of land described in said Action to Condemn, recorded February 23, 1965, as Document No. 32091 as follows: Continuing along last described course, South 86°06'06" East to an angle point in said boundary; North 87°12'46" East 263.27 feet to the beginning of a tangent 2495.00 foot radius curve concave Southerly; thence Easterly along the arc of said curve to the Southwesterly corner of said land described in Deed to Theodore L. Vallas recorded January 5, 1965 as File No. 989; thence along the Westerly line of said Vallas' land (Deed-North 00°30'36" East) a distance of 174.71 feet to the true point of beginning.

EXCEPTING therefrom that portion described as follows:

Beginning at the northwest corner of said Section 32; thence Easterly along the Northerly line thereof 1650.25 feet; thence South 5°08'11" East 49.34 feet to an angle point in the boundary line of the land shown as 8.476 acres per Record of Survey Map No. 5588, also said land being that certain parcel of land described in deed to Atkins

-continued-

CUP12-00020

Enterprises, Inc., recorded February 15, 1960, as File No. 52968 of Official Records; thence along said Northerly boundary South 68°10'44" West (Record South 68°13'24" West) 289.64 feet to a point distant thereon North 68°10'44" East 30.43 feet from the Northwesterly corner of said land, being the true point of beginning; thence along the Northerly boundary line of the land as set out in the action to condemn certain property for highway purposes, a copy of which was recorded on February 23, 1965, as Document No. 32091 of Official Records; South 77°55'14" East 91.33 feet; thence South 67°53'56" East 109.53 feet; thence South 79°09' 25" East 111.70 feet to Point "A" of this description; thence retracing North 79°09'25" West 40.00 feet; thence Northeasterly in a straight line to a point which bears North 42°23'02" West 49.00 feet from said Point "A"; thence North 42°23'02" West 150.94 feet to said Northerly line of Atkins land; thence South 68°10'44" West 178.50 feet to the true point of beginning.

CUP12-00020

NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

City of Oceanside, California

Post Date: 03/26/2013
Removal: 09/26/2013
(180 days)

1. **APPLICANT:** Sprint Nextel c/o Alcatel
2. **ADDRESS:** 9605 Scranton Road #400, San Diego, CA 92121
3. **PHONE NUMBER:** (619) 417-6295
4. **LEAD AGENCY:** City of Oceanside
5. **PROJECT MGR.:** Sally Schifman
6. **PROJECT TITLE:** Sprint @ 3156 Vista Way (CUP12-00020)
7. **DESCRIPTION:** Consideration of a Conditional Use Permit (CUP12-00020) for equipment upgrades necessary to accommodate fourth generation wireless technologies (4G) and the continued operation of an existing, but expired wireless telecommunication facility. The proposed project consists of the removal of three (3) existing antennas and the installation of three (3) new antennas, which would be located behind an existing rooftop parapet screen wall. Seven (7) additional existing antennas are to remain with no modifications at this time. Equipment upgrades would include the installation of: three (3) remote radio units (RRU's), one (1) fiber junction box, and three (3) hybriflex fiber optic cables. Additionally, all of the existing Sprint Coaxial cables would be removed and an existing GPS antenna would be removed and replaced with a new GPS antenna. The existing modcell cabinet would be upgraded to accommodate the new equipment.

ADMINISTRATIVE DETERMINATION: Planning Division staff has completed a preliminary review of this project in accordance with the City of Oceanside's Environmental Review Guidelines and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), 1970. Therefore, the Environmental Coordinator has determined that further environmental evaluation is not required because:

[x] The project is categorically exempt, Class _1_, Existing Facility (Section 15301); or,

[ ] The activity is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA (Section 15061(b)(3)); or,

[ ] The project is statutorily exempt, Section , <name> (Sections 15260-15277); or,

[ ] The project does not constitute a "project" as defined by CEQA (Section 15378).

Sally Schifman

Sally Schifman, Consulting Assistant

Date: March 26, 2013

cc: [x] Project file [x] Counter file [x] Library
Posting: [ ] County Clerk $50.00 Admin. Fee