

V1. CHAPTER 5

Comments Not Requiring a CEQA Response

This chapter contains the comment letters received during the public review period for the proposed Coast Highway Corridor Study Project (project) Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR), which do not address the proposed project's environmental effects or the adequacy or accuracy of the environmental analyses within the DEIR. These comments are focused on whether or not the City of Oceanside (City) should approve the proposed project. Because these comments do not include comments on the environmental analysis contained in the DEIR, specific responses to each of the letters are not necessary. A Master Response, provided below, has been prepared to address these comment letters. **Table V1.5-1** lists the comment letters addressed by this section, which are provided in **Appendix V1.B** of this Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for full consideration by the City during their deliberation on whether or not to approve the proposed project.

TABLE V1.5-1
LIST OF COMMENTERS ON THE DEIR THAT DO NOT REQUIRE A CEQA RESPONSE

Letter No.	Commenter	Date of Comment
DEIR NCR1	Thomas Clarke	7/22/2017
DEIR NCR2	Linda Sills	7/22/2017
DEIR NCR3	John Stump	7/23/2017
DEIR NCR4	Benn Von Wistinghausen	7/23/2017
DEIR NCR5	Elizabeth Barnes	7/24/2017
DEIR NCR6	Sunie Roman	7/24/2017
DEIR NCR7	Elena Thompson	7/24/2017
DEIR NCR8	Laura E. Uhlmeyer	7/24/2017
DEIR NCR9	Diane Hanson	7/25/2017
DEIR NCR10	Connie Kemp	7/26/2017
DEIR NCR11	Nancy Gregory	7/27/2017
DEIR NCR12	Gayle Lacy	7/28/2017
DEIR NCR13	Laird Stabler	8/9/2017
DEIR NCR14	Shawn and Erin Crain	8/10/2017
DEIR NCR15	Mike Moore	8/10/2017

**TABLE V1.5-1
LIST OF COMMENTERS ON THE DEIR THAT DO NOT REQUIRE A CEQA RESPONSE**

Letter No.	Commenter	Date of Comment
DEIR NCR16	Josh Servi	8/10/2017
DEIR NCR17	Cerrie Watson	8/10/2017
DEIR NCR18	Daneen Akers	8/13/2017
DEIR NCR19	Doris Mullen	8/13/2017
DEIR NCR20	Mathew Wolf	8/15/2017
DEIR NCR21	Dean Baldrige	8/16/2017
DEIR NCR22	Debra Barger-Cook	8/17/2017
DEIR NCR23	Gwen Graham	8/17/2017
DEIR NCR24	Janna Harris	8/17/2017
DEIR NCR25	Janet M. Henderson	8/17/2017
DEIR NCR26	Alex Hoefer	8/17/2017
DEIR NCR27	Laurel Kaskurs	8/17/2017
DEIR NCR28	Daniela Marshall	8/17/2017
DEIR NCR29	Pamela Myers	8/17/2017
DEIR NCR30	Gloria Ryan	8/18/2017
DEIR NCR31	Pam Chambers	8/19/2017
DEIR NCR32	Monique Combs	8/20/2017
DEIR NCR33	Richard Fox	8/20/2017
DEIR NCR34	Robert Robert	8/20/2017
DEIR NCR35	CM Rocco	8/20/2017
DEIR NCR36	No name provided	8/20/2017
DEIR NCR37	Thomas Adams	8/21/2017
DEIR NCR38	Dianna Bailey	8/21/2017
DEIR NCR39	Dianna Bailey	8/21/2017
DEIR NCR40	Ernest L Eineman	8/21/2017
DEIR NCR41	Sam Giacoletti	8/21/2017
DEIR NCR42	Cheryl Haynes Stewart	8/21/2017
DEIR NCR43	Colleen Balch	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR44	Jerry Edwards	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR45	Brian Ferguson	8/22/2017

**TABLE V1.5-1
LIST OF COMMENTERS ON THE DEIR THAT DO NOT REQUIRE A CEQA RESPONSE**

Letter No.	Commenter	Date of Comment
DEIR NCR46	Patrick Frazier	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR47	Jessica Hunter	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR48	Simon Hunter	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR49	John Iniguez	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR50	Tom Lichterman	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR51	Tom Lichterman	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR52	Hilary Meloan	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR53	Anne Ongyod	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR54	Trevor Osterberg	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR55	Trevor Osterberg	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR56	Chivon Parli	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR57	Taylor Rae	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR58	Laura Rod	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR59	Janet Shepherd	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR60	Carly Trippe	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR61	Becka Vance	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR62	Davin Waite	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR63	Sam Williamson	8/22/2017
DEIR NCR64	Carly Aichle	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR65	Seth Aichle	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR66	Garret Akerson	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR67	The Apodacas	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR68	Jim Curl	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR69	John Daley	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR70	Dianne	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR71	Kevin Edwards	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR72	Monty Friesen	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR73	Patricia Friesen	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR74	Lori Gage	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR75	Gus Hawthorn	8/23/2017

**TABLE V1.5-1
LIST OF COMMENTERS ON THE DEIR THAT DO NOT REQUIRE A CEQA RESPONSE**

Letter No.	Commenter	Date of Comment
DEIR NCR76	Evan Marks	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR77	Charles Martin	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR78	Kristin Morrison	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR79	Bill Myers	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR80	John Norcross	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR81	Sally Peltier	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR82	Dave Rae	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR83	Trent Sakamoto	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR84	Dolores Wells	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR85	Chelsea Butters Wooding	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR86	Sarah Zajda	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR87	Michelle Zavondy	8/23/2017
DEIR NCR88	Leslie Davies	8/24/2017
DEIR NCR89	Tanner Knapp	8/24/2017
DEIR NCR90	Irina Pucaric	8/24/2017
DEIR NCR91	Steve and Cheryl Barry	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR92	Heidi Bullock	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR93	Kathy Derham	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR94	Cara Dodaro	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR95	Philip Dow	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR96	Zell Dwelley	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR97	Ashley Ecker	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR98	John Filippone	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR99	Heidi Franczyk	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR100	Judy Frankel	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR101	Emily Gonzales	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR102	Debra Goykhman	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR103	Hadley Graham	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR104	Joyce Hite	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR105	Nicole Howard	8/25/2017

**TABLE V1.5-1
LIST OF COMMENTERS ON THE DEIR THAT DO NOT REQUIRE A CEQA RESPONSE**

Letter No.	Commenter	Date of Comment
DEIR NCR106	Jody Hubbard	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR107	Amy Mattix	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR108	Meridee Johnson Reynolds	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR109	Thomas Shepherd	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR110	Christine Smedley	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR111	Duane Smith	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR112	Elena Thompson	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR113	Becki Yeomans	8/25/2017
DEIR NCR114	Melissa Betz	8/26/2017
DEIR NCR115	Lisa Callahan	8/26/2017
DEIR NCR116	Jordan Premo	8/26/2017
DEIR NCR117	James Wang	8/26/2017
DEIR NCR118	Paul Jamason	8/27/2017
DEIR NCR119	Sonja Johnson	8/27/2017
DEIR NCR120	Janet Lichterman	8/27/2017
DEIR NCR121	Paul Nevins	8/27/2017
DEIR NCR122	Maggie Rhyne	8/27/2017
DEIR NCR123	Jim Schroder	8/27/2017
DEIR NCR124	Leslie Shaw	8/27/2017
DEIR NCR125	Lisa Skyles	8/27/2017
DEIR NCR126	Lynda Barry	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR127	Jay Berman	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR128	John Bickerton	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR129	Ken Bross	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR130	Mike and Joan Bullock	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR131	Micaela Canton	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR132	Eric Carstensen	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR133	David E. Chavez	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR134	Candice Core	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR135	Donna Davis	8/28/2017

**TABLE V1.5-1
LIST OF COMMENTERS ON THE DEIR THAT DO NOT REQUIRE A CEQA RESPONSE**

Letter No.	Commenter	Date of Comment
DEIR NCR136	Richard Fox	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR137	Steve and Jaysree Gerken	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR138	Chris Gow	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR139	Theresa Gundlach	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR140	Kristen Johnson	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR141	Robert Jones	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR142	Charlene Kerchevall	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR143	Michele Lisi-Merzi	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR144	Shari Mackin	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR145	Tiler Makin	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR146	Kristina McCay	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR147	Beatrice Moniz	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR148	Kamran Rahbar	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR149	Marcia B. Ratterree	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR150	Laura Ridley	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR151	Bess Aili Singleton	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR152	William Skyles	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR153	Cyan Trujillo	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR154	Richard Trujillo	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR155	Jolie Van Schoik	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR156	John H. Wagner	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR157	No name provided	8/28/2017
DEIR NCR158	Penny Houle	8/29/2017
DEIR NCR159	Marlyss McElroy	8/29/2017
DEIR NCR160	Barbara Metzler	8/29/2017
DEIR NCR161	Jeri Miller	8/29/2017
DEIR NCR162	No name provided	8/29/2017

Master Response for Comments Not Requiring a CEQA Response

The public review period for a DEIR allows for public agencies, Tribal governments, and members of the public to submit comments on the environmental analyses and environmental impacts disclosed in the DEIR for a proposed project. Further, commenters can comment on the adequacy and accuracy of the environmental document as well as suggest revisions to the DEIR and provide additional mitigation measures based on factual arguments. By including the public review period in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) process, a lead agency can provide full disclosure of the environmental impacts of a project as well as incorporate public input into the project prior to final decision.

Per Section 15088 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, a lead agency is required to evaluate and respond to comments on the environmental issues received from persons who reviewed the DEIR during the noticed comment period and prepare written responses to those comments. The written response is required to describe the disposition of significant environmental issues raised (e.g., revisions to the proposed project to mitigate anticipated impacts or objections). In particular, the major environmental issues raised when the lead agency's position is at variance with recommendations and objections raised in the comments must be addressed in detail giving reasons why specific comments and suggestions were not accepted. There must be good faith, reasoned analysis in response.

The City, as lead agency, acknowledges and appreciates the time and thought that went into each comment letter submitted during the public review and comment period for the Coast Highway Corridor Study Project DEIR. All of the comment letters received for the proposed project have been incorporated into the public record for the proposed project and are included in the FEIR, which will be considered by the City when they deliberate regarding whether to approve the proposed project and the potential details of that project definition. The City, as lead agency, will consider the FEIR, including all comment letters and responses as well as any revisions to the EIR during the City Council's review and consideration of the proposed project, which will occur during public hearings. The City Council will have the opportunity to review and consider each of the comment letters received during the public review period prior to making a final decision on the proposed project.

Section 15204(a) of the CEQA Guidelines state that in reviewing Draft EIRs, persons and public agencies should focus on the sufficiency of the document in identifying and analyzing the possible impacts on the environment and ways in which the significant effects of the project might be avoided or mitigated. Further, when responding to comments, lead agencies need only to respond to comments regarding significant environmental issues and do not need to provide all information requested by reviewers, as long as a good faith effort at full disclosure has been made in the EIR.

The City, as lead agency, has provided individual written responses to the comment letters which pertain to specific environmental issues and/or mitigation measures presented within the DEIR in Chapters 3 through 5 of Volume 1 of this FEIR. However, the comment letters listed in

Table V1.5-1 do not comment on any of the environmental analyses presented in the DEIR nor do they pertain to the adequacy or accuracy of the environmental document overall. The majority of these comments are on the project components themselves and express support or opposition to the project. Per Section 15204(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, the City is not required to respond to comments that do not pertain to the project's effects on the environment or the environmental analyses and mitigation measures presented in the DEIR. While individual responses to these comment letters have not been prepared, the City appreciates the public's input on the design of the proposed project and will take these comments into consideration when deciding on any potential project changes or in the selection of the preferred design alternative for the Coast Highway improvements.