

## V2. CHAPTER 4

# Comments Not Requiring a CEQA Response

This chapter contains the comment letters received during the public review period for the proposed Coast Highway Corridor Study Project (project) Partially Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report (PRDEIR), which do not address the proposed project's environmental effects or the adequacy or accuracy of the environmental analyses within the PRDEIR. These comments are focused on whether or not the City of Oceanside (City) should approve the proposed project or a project alternative; since they do not include comments on the environmental analysis contained in the PRDEIR, specific responses to each of the letters are not necessary. A Master Response, provided below, has been prepared to address these comment letters. **Table V2.4-1** lists the comment letters addressed by this section, which are provided in **Appendix V2.A** of this Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for full consideration by the City during their deliberation on whether or not to approve the proposed project.

**TABLE V2.4-1**  
**LIST OF PRDEIR COMMENT LETTERS NOT REQUIRING A CEQA RESPONSE**

<b>Letter No.</b>	<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Date of Comment</b>
PRDEIR NCR1	Shanna Schwarze	12/3/2018
PRDEIR NCR2	Lynn Cavalluzzi	1/4/2019
PRDEIR NCR3	Lynn Cavalluzzi	1/4/2019
PRDEIR NCR4	Mark and Elisabeth Koonce	1/4/2019
PRDEIR NCR5	Mark and Elisabeth Koonce	1/4/2019
PRDEIR NCR6	Greg Wilson	1/4/2019
PRDEIR NCR7	Nancy Gregory	1/5/2019
PRDEIR NCR8	Nancy Gregory	1/5/2019
PRDEIR NCR9	Diana Bailey	1/7/2019
PRDEIR NCR10	Lynda Barry	1/7/2019
PRDEIR NCR11	Richard Fox	1/7/2019
PRDEIR NCR12	Todd Gillum	1/7/2019
PRDEIR NCR13	Todd Gillum	1/7/2019

**TABLE V2.4-1  
LIST OF PRDEIR COMMENT LETTERS NOT REQUIRING A CEQA RESPONSE**

<b>Letter No.</b>	<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Date of Comment</b>
PRDEIR NCR14	Dieter Steinmetz	1/7/2019
PRDEIR NCR15	Lowell and Carole Berwick	1/8/2019
PRDEIR NCR16	Irene	1/8/2019
PRDEIR NCR17	Vicki L. Krivoski	1/8/2019
PRDEIR NCR18	Constance Levi	1/8/2019
PRDEIR NCR19	Janet Shepherd	1/8/2019
PRDEIR NCR20	Dr. and Mrs. Barry Slipock	1/8/2019
PRDEIR NCR21	Summer Striler	1/8/2019
PRDEIR NCR22	Danny Bower	1/9/2019
PRDEIR NCR23	Leslie Caton	1/9/2019
PRDEIR NCR24	Kathie Chan	1/9/2019
PRDEIR NCR25	Mary Beth Douglas	1/9/2019
PRDEIR NCR26	Shirlene Gustafson	1/9/2019
PRDEIR NCR27	Mary Jackson	1/9/2019
PRDEIR NCR28	Andrew Lasko	1/9/2019
PRDEIR NCR29	Karie Lasko	1/9/2019
PRDEIR NCR30	Meridee Johnson	1/10/2019
PRDEIR NCR31	Nancy Clark	1/10/2019
PRDEIR NCR32	Philip Clark	1/10/2019
PRDEIR NCR33	Maggie Chow Darlymple	1/10/2019
PRDEIR NCR34	G. Bruce and Maggie Darlymple	1/10/2019
PRDEIR NCR35	Bruce Mortland	1/10/2019
PRDEIR NCR36	Judy Gladden	1/11/2019
PRDEIR NCR37	Judy Holston	1/11/2019
PRDEIR NCR38	B Pellis	1/11/2019
PRDEIR NCR39	Peg Reilly	1/11/2019
PRDEIR NCR40	Patty Remington	1/11/2019

**TABLE V2.4-1  
LIST OF PRDEIR COMMENT LETTERS NOT REQUIRING A CEQA RESPONSE**

<b>Letter No.</b>	<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Date of Comment</b>
PRDEIR NCR41	Jennifer Villalpando	1/11/2019
PRDEIR NCR42	Anne Marie Castellano	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR43	Kimberly Hemphill	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR44	Janet Henderson	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR45	Lance Johannsen	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR46	Jane McVey	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR47	Jeri Miller	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR48	Cathryn Reilly	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR49	Michael Richardson	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR50	Suelle Shea	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR51	Richard J. Webb Sr.	1/12/2019
PRDEIR NCR52	Robin Bookey	1/13/2019
PRDEIR NCR53	Kathy Derham	1/13/2019
PRDEIR NCR54	Sylvia Harmon	1/13/2019
PRDEIR NCR55	Paul Hefferlin	1/13/2019
PRDEIR NCR56	Jack and Beth Pence	1/13/2019
PRDEIR NCR57	Aida C. Ryder	1/13/2019
PRDEIR NCR58	Martin Ryder	1/13/2019
PRDEIR NCR59	Tesbern@sbcglobal.net	1/13/2019
PRDEIR NCR60	Dean Baldridge	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR61	Bud Beech	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR62	Tami Boschee	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR63	Richard and Cynthia Trujillo	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR64	Zell and Gary Dwelley	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR65	John Edington	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR66	John Edington	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR67	Dave Ernst	1/14/2019

**TABLE V2.4-1**  
**LIST OF PRDEIR COMMENT LETTERS NOT REQUIRING A CEQA RESPONSE**

Letter No.	Commenter	Date of Comment
PRDEIR NCR68	Kwja Ferguson	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR69	Dale Kirkley	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR70	James Knott III	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR71	Tracy Meyers	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR72	Beatrice Moniz	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR73	Laura Moser	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR74	Charlene Myers	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR75	Camille Peca	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR76	Alisa Prestie	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR77	Suelle Shea	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR78	Lisa and William Skyles	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR79	Smwsculptor@gmail.com	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR80	Elizabeth West	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR81	Michael Wilson	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR82	Rebecca Yeomans	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR83	Petition 1 – BikeWalk Oceanside	11/26/2018
PRDEIR NCR84	Petition 2 – Save South O	1/6/2019
PRDEIR NCR85	Petition 3 – Save South O	1/6/2019
PRDEIR NCR86	Petition 4 – BikeWalk Oceanside	1/14/2019
PRDEIR NCR87	Petition 5 – Save South O	1/15/2019

## Master Response for Comments Not Requiring a CEQA Response

Per Section 15088.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, a lead agency is required to recirculate an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) when significant new information is added to the EIR after public notice is given of the availability of the DEIR for public review under Section 15087 but before certification. If the revision is limited to a few chapters or portions of the EIR, the lead agency need only recirculate the chapters or portions that have been modified for public review. When the EIR is revised only in part and the lead agency is recirculating only the revised chapters or portions of the EIR, the lead agency may request that reviewers limit their comments to the revised chapters or portions of the recirculated EIR. When a lead agency elects to partially recirculate an EIR, it can result in the lead agency receiving more than one set of comments from reviewers. In this case, the lead agency need only respond to: (i) comments received during the initial circulation period that relate to chapters or portions of the document that were not revised and recirculated, and (ii) comments received during the recirculation period that relate to the chapters or portions of the earlier EIR that were revised and recirculated. The lead agency's request that reviewers limit the scope of their comments shall be included either within the text of the revised EIR or by an attachment to the revised EIR.

Public notice and circulation of the PRDEIR is subject to the same notice and consultation requirements that applied to the original DEIR, per CEQA Guidelines Sections 15086 and 15087. The public review period for the PRDEIR allowed for public agencies, Tribal governments, and members of the public to submit comments on the revised environmental analyses specifically contained in the various sections of the PRDEIR. Furthermore, commenters can comment on the adequacy and accuracy of the environmental document as well as suggest revisions to the PRDEIR and provide additional mitigation measures based on factual arguments. By including the public review period in the EIR process, a lead agency can provide full disclosure of the environmental impacts of a project as well as incorporate public input into the project prior to final decision.

Similar to the response to comments for the DEIR, the City is required to evaluate and respond to comments on the environmental issues received from persons who reviewed the PRDEIR during the noticed comment period and prepare written responses to those comments in accordance with Section 15088 of the CEQA Guidelines. The written response is required to describe the disposition of significant environmental issues raised (e.g., revisions to the proposed project to mitigate anticipated impacts or objections). In particular, the major environmental issues raised when the lead agency's position is at variance with recommendations and objections raised in the comments must be addressed in detail giving reasons why specific comments and suggestions were not accepted. There must be good faith, reasoned analysis in response.

The City, as lead agency, acknowledges and appreciates the time and thought that went into each comment letter submitted during the public review and comment period for the Coast Highway Corridor Study Project PRDEIR. All of the comment letters received for the proposed project have been incorporated into the public record for the proposed project and are included in this FEIR, which will be considered when the City deliberates regarding whether to approve the

proposed project or one of the alternatives. The City, as lead agency, will consider this FEIR, including all comment letters and responses as well as any revisions to the EIR during the City Council's review and consideration of the proposed project, which will occur during public hearings. The City Council will have the opportunity to review and consider each of the comment letters received during the public review period prior to making a final decision on the proposed project.

Section 15204(a) of the CEQA Guidelines state that in reviewing EIRs, persons and public agencies should focus on the sufficiency of the document in identifying and analyzing the possible impacts on the environment and ways in which the significant effects of the project might be avoided or mitigated. Furthermore, when responding to comments, lead agencies need only to respond to comments regarding significant environmental issues and do not need to provide all information requested by reviewers, as long as a good faith effort at full disclosure has been made in the EIR.

The City, as lead agency, has provided individual written responses to the comment letters that pertain to specific environmental issues and/or mitigation measures presented within the PRDEIR in Chapters 2 and 3 of Volume 2 of this FEIR. However, the comment letters listed in Table V2.4-1 do not comment on any of the environmental analyses presented in the PRDEIR nor do they pertain to the adequacy or accuracy of the environmental document overall. The majority of these comments are on the project components themselves and express support or opposition to the project. Per Section 15204(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, the City is not required to respond to comments that do not pertain to the project's effects on the environment or the environmental analyses and mitigation measures presented in the PRDEIR. While individual responses to these comment letters have not been prepared, the City appreciates the public's input on the design of the proposed project and will take these comments into consideration when deciding on any potential project changes or in the selection of an alternative for the proposed project.