

ERRATA TO THE FINAL EIR

INTRODUCTION

The City of Oceanside (City) has prepared this Errata sheet to clarify and correct information in the Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR or FEIR) for the College Boulevard Improvement Project (or Project). In conformance with Section 15121 of the State CEQA Guidelines, the Final EIR, technical appendices and reports thereof, together with the Errata, are intended to serve as documents that will generally inform the decision-makers and the public of environmental effects of the Project. This Errata, combined with the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, and Response to Comments, comprises the Final EIR.

Global Clarifications to the Final EIR

As part of the Project Description, the Final EIR and technical appendices stated that the Project required certain discretionary actions, including a General Plan Amendment (GPA). Since the Project does not propose to expand College Boulevard to six-lanes between Waring Road and Olive Drive, the Project would not expand College Boulevard as envisioned in the City's General Plan Circulation Element. Thus, the Project would not fully implement the Circulation Element. However, because the Project would not preclude the City from expanding College Boulevard between Waring Road and Olive Drive to six lanes in the future and would not preclude fulfillment of the Circulation Element in the future, the City has determined that a GPA is not required for the Project. As such, all instances of Final EIR text stating that a GPA is required are incorrect and shall not be considered a required discretionary action for the Project.

Land Use Section of the Final EIR

Section 4.10.4, Impact Analysis of the Land Use section of the Final EIR discloses that a GPA is a required entitlement of the Project because of an inconsistency with the Project area designation of College Boulevard in the Circulation Element. Under the heading City of Oceanside General Plan (see page 4.10-8), the Final EIR states the Project does not propose to widen the segment of College Boulevard from Waring Road to Olive Drive to six lanes and thus would not fully implement the Circulation Element. However, as stated above, the Project would not preclude fulfillment of the Circulation Element in the future and the City has determined that a GPA is not required for the Project. Also, as stated in Section 4.10.4, any physical environmental effects resulting from implementation of the proposed project, including effects to the roadway network and operating conditions, and air quality and greenhouse gas emissions, are disclosed throughout the Final EIR.

Similar to the analysis presented in the Final EIR related to the City of Oceanside General Plan, removal of a GPA as a required entitlement from the Project and Final EIR would result in less

than significant land use impacts concerning inconsistencies with applicable plans, policies and regulations.

Conclusion

This Errata sheet includes minor edits to the Final EIR for the Project and subsequent revisions herein do not contain significant new information that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the Project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect. Additionally, information clarified in the Final EIR does not present a feasible Project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed in the Draft EIR.

The information added to the Final EIR merely clarifies, amplifies, or makes insignificant modifications in the Draft EIR. New information added to the Final EIR is not “significant”, and recirculation of the Draft EIR is not required (see Guidelines Section 15088.5). The City has reviewed the information in this Errata sheet and has determined that it does not change any of the findings or conclusions of the Final EIR and does not constitute “significant new information” pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5.

Further, the proposed clarification to the Final EIR regarding the necessity of a GPA does not deprive the public of either a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the Project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect that the Project proponent has declined to implement. The global clarification would result in no new impacts and would result in reduced land use impacts concerning potential inconsistencies with an applicable land use plan (e.g., the City’s General Plan Circulation Element) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect.